NATIONAL WEEKLY

Social Justice

Founded 1936 by Father Coughlin

Royal Oak, Michigan — February 13, 1939 — Subscription: Three Dollars Per Year — 10¢

WHY RECOGNIZE RUSSIA?
By Joseph P. Wright

•

FROM THE TOWER
Father Coughlin

•

THE MYSTICAL BODY OF SATAN
(Part Two)
Rev. W. J. Randall

•

EYES AND EARS OVER WASHINGTON
Mark Meecham

•

THE WEEK IN THE NEWS OF THE WORLD

•

HIGHLIGHTS OF THE BROADCAST



MAKE YOUR CHOICE

TODAY, THIS NATION makes a mental pilgrimage to Springfield, Illinois, there to pay memorial tribute at the tomb of Abraham Lincoln.

The rough, honest frontiersman lawyer who became a wartime President of the United States, has become a symbol of the Typical American. He is the Poor Man's President, the Great Emancipator, the Commander-in-Chief of the Grand Army of the Republic, and a martyr because he opposed disunion and the international bankers.

Across the world, another tomb—that of Lenin in the Red Square of Moscow—is also a center of pilgrimage and the symbol of internationalism.

Disciples of Lenin and followers of Lincoln are today in conflict in this country. And no American can be a "neutral."

Which hero, Lenin or Lincoln? On which side are YOU—Americanism or Communism?

VOL. 3A NO. 7

DIGITIZED BY UNZ.ORG
ELECTRONIC REPRODUCTION PROHIBITED

Comment From The Audience

Condemns WDAS

I thought that this was a country of free speech and the radio was for anyone who could pay the price, but apparently the radio in this country is largely controlled by a Stalin or a Hitler because the truth, even though it fits a good many people, is not permitted to be told.

The high-handed attitude which station WDAS has taken leads me to believe that they may be closely allied with those Communists that Father Coughlin is condemning on the radio. —*R. E. J., Philadelphia, Pa.*

Commend Talks

We of the Catholic Action Committee of the Holy Name Society welcome the opportunity to express to you our explicit commendation of your fearless stand against atheism and Communism.

Despite the storm of protest and abuse which has followed these broadcasts, we cannot but feel that your indictment is a true one, and a warning that certain elements had better clean house. — *Edward J. Hoyt, St. Nicholas of Tolentine Church, New York City.*

Admires Stand

In Europe, law-abiding, responsible and sane forces have risen to power in many nations in their well-justified struggle against the very real threats from that destructive mob of irresponsible agitators who champion the doctrine of Communism as the world's salvation in dilemma.

Your stand in the face of seemingly insurmountable opposition is deserving of sincere admiration and respect, from sane Americans who should begin to organize for action in behalf of all the things they hold sacred.—*J.H.F., Scarsdale, N. Y.*

Encouragement

Just a word of encouragement from a fellow priest. I am convinced that you are genuine and dependable. You may count on me to add a good word for you and our cause whenever opportunity presents itself, and also on a regular memento at the altar.—*Rev. J.M., S.J., St. Louis, Mo.*

Bad Will or Ignorance

It is difficult to see how supposedly intelligent individuals can misinterpret your talks and intentions. One must conclude that they are either of bad will or else their ignorance is such as not to be able to discern your clear distinctions relative to the enemies of justice and peace.—*C.F., C.P., West Springfield, Mass.*



Backs Americanism

Although I am a Protestant, I am 100 per cent for you and your program. Everything I have heard over the air from your station has been true Americanism. We men of the World War know what true Americanism is.

Keep up your good work; your "buddies" from back in 1917 will not let you down. I can only speak for myself, but personally, I know many others who think as I do about you and your programs.

We Americans have plenty of work to do in running the un-Americans from this country. We have room for only one ism — Americanism. — *A. J. Bellar, Commander Camp Chase Post No. 98, American Legion, Columbus, Ohio.*

Chance to Save Jews

Prophets of doom are not popular, and yet, if your speeches are continued, the Jewish people in this country may be saved before it is too late. Like the rest of us, the Jewish people do not like to admit mistakes made by their own,—yet they must learn to do so. They must realize that persecution, horrible and unpardonable as it is, does not spring from thin air, but has some basis or cause.—*W.D.H., Minneapolis, Minn.*

Indifferentist Awakened

You have revived the interest in the lessons that I learned from my mother who is long since gone, for I am now 53 years old with a family of my own. There are hundreds of thousands such as I who have become apathetic in our Christianity through the years. We need you, and I hope you will not be discouraged by the outrageous and unfair events of the last few weeks.—*J.A.P., New York City.*

Critic Now Congratulates

On the last and only occasion that I wrote to you, I was highly denunciatory of what I considered unfortunate political harangues involving President Roosevelt.

Inasmuch as I took the effort to write you of my reactions as a Catholic and a citizen at that time, I feel constrained to write again stating briefly my feelings on the most recent of your broadcasts.

I believe your latest talk was as keen a piece of analysis as it has been my good fortune to read or listen to. Congratulations and more power to you. — *W.H.H., Forest Hills, Long Island, New York.*

Worked For Labor Party

I endorse what you said and I am sure that your statements regarding Jews are not only true, but very moderate. I live among Jewish people here in the Bronx, where approximately 9,000 Communist votes helped to elect Mayor LaGuardia last year. I should know because I was employed as an election technician by the so-called American Labor Party in that election.—*A.F.D., Bronx, N. Y.*

Resent Soviet Criticism

I was 47 years old when I went to work in Russia and met there, Jews from America who were treacherously plotting against America.

I worked in Russia from 1931 to 1936. Since I returned and told about certain things I saw in Russia, I have had every Jew in New York City at my throat. *I had said nothing about Jews, mind you, but only about the acts of the Soviet government.* Their getting so violent against me appears a very open admission on their part that Jews and the Soviet government are synonymous. At least when Moscow is attacked, the Jews cry out. There are so many of them in Russia under assumed names and otherwise camouflaged that I had not estimated their number quite so large as you did.

When I was in Moscow, they openly bragged that they *could keep any American returning from Russia even from getting on relief here if he talked against them.* Since I have seen their power, I know they can and do it.—*P.C., New York City.*

Priest Victim of Red Guns

Rev. O—, an Episcopal minister in Connecticut, recently deceased, told me this himself:

He was minister of an Episcopal Church in St. Petersburg, Russia. One Sunday morning in front of the Roman Catholic and Episcopal churches appeared the sign:

"Religion is the Opium of the People."

Rev. O— and the Roman Catholic priest protested, with the result that they were both pronounced guilty of high treason, and given 24 hours to leave Russia. The former saw the Catholic priest and suggested that as both had been found guilty with no knowledge of any trial, they quit, as companions in misery, but the priest was unable to get in touch with his superior.

Rev. O— begged him to leave, as he was dealing with crazy atheists who might never let him get in touch with his superior, but the priest felt that he should remain. Rev. O— left and lived. The Catholic priest, still unable to get in touch with his superior, was shot.—*Andrew S. Taylor, Stamford, Conn.*



Fine Programs

Thank you for your fine radio programs. With many other people who love their God and their God-given land of liberty, I appreciate your interpretation of Christ's teachings regarding justice.

I thank you also for the fine opportunity you grant us for obtaining your speeches.—*R.D.F., Grosse Pointe Park, Michigan.*

America Awakens

The American people are slowly but surely awakening from their lethargy, thanks to you. May you live long to educate and enlighten them on the virtues of justice and charity. —*M.F.P., New York City.*

Time is Past For Inaction

The position taken by the Jewish leaders in this nation and by the Jewish councils in response to the reasonable request by Father Coughlin that they oppose the arch persecutor of Christians, red Communism, proves to my satisfaction that these leaders in this and other countries are inextricably enfolded in the coils of the red serpent of Moscow.

Knowing this and knowing that they boast in their publications of having succeeded in eradicating Christ from the activities in our public schools, naturally poses the question of what attitude Christians should take towards them.

Should we persecute them? Absolutely NO!

Should we be tolerant of their practices? Just as positively NO! But we should oppose their present practices with all the strength of our endurance.

Therefore the most important thing for the Christians in America to do is to see to it that Father Coughlin remains on the air, for he seems to be the only one possessing the courage to tell the whole truth. We should contribute as much as we can to the broadcasting fund and we should vehemently protest any attempt to silence him. His is the most important voice in America today and we cannot afford to lose it.—*William L. Stone, St. Louis, Mo.*

SOCIAL JUSTICE, National Weekly, Copyrighted 1938, by The Social Justice Publishing Company; publication and editorial office, Royal Oak, Michigan. Subscription price, United States and possessions, $3.00 per year. Unless otherwise directed all subscriptions begin with the current issue. Three weeks is required to effect change of subscriber's address. Give both old and new addresses. Entered as second class matter, March 12, 1937, at the Post Office, Royal Oak, Michigan, under the Act of March 3, 1879. Rev. Charles E. Coughlin, LL. D., Editorial Counsel; E. Perrin Schwartz, Editor.

SOCIAL JUSTICE is fully protected by copyright and nothing that appears in it may be reprinted either wholly or in part without special permission. The use of articles or quotations from Social Justice for digest, advertising, promotions and stock-selling schemes is never authorized.

PRODUCED BY UNZ.ORG
ELECTRONIC REPRODUCTION PROHIBITED



Why Recognize Russia?

While Dealing With Treaty-Breakers, Let Us Handle The Treaty-Breaking Russia

By JOSEPH P. WRIGHT

IN 1919 the United States refused to recognize Bolshevist Russia because the Soviet government proclaimed its intention to hamstring and conquer the entire world. It visioned a violent overthrow of every existing nation.

Today the United States extends full diplomatic recognition to this universal cancer spot, although Mr. Stalin and his governing mob have not undergone an examination of conscience; have not altered their policy of conquest.

The Third Communist International — muscle-arm and fist of the U.S.S.R.—has thrust itself into every land. It aims to propagandize, disorganize and conquer. In the United States it has whipped up such a frenzy of Communist activity that the eminent newspaper commentator, Mark Sullivan, was moved to remark that the job couldn't be better done if Joe Stalin had one million Russian soldiers quartered on American soil.

When the present administration extended recognition to Russia in 1933, it did so with the understanding that no Russian group or organization was to undertake the subversion of the United States government, or that of any of its territories or possessions. No Russian organization was, in other words, to preach the Communist doctrine of world revolution in this land.

* * *

Maxim Litvinov, Communist Russia's foreign minister at that time, signed a pledge incorporating the above promise and handed it over to President Roosevelt.

Has this pledge been violated? It has, completely. The Communist party thrives and prospers on the Soviet's propaganda and destruction. It has all but broken the back of our labor unions. It has fastened its followers, leech-like, onto greater and lesser government jobs. Its subalterns have fought their way into the administration of relief, into the press, cinema, radio; into every avenue of social development.

The Communist party, with the benefit of its pipe-line to Moscow, has established "front" organizations under which Red ideas and objectives are preached and sought. These organizations bathe themselves in America's traditional, easy-going tolerance by hiding behind such simple-sounding names as the League for Peace and Democracy; the League against War and Fascism; the Medical Bureau to aid Spanish Democracy.

* * *

The strong arm "Comintern," or Communist International has punched its bloody fist into the thick of American life; using free speech in order to destroy it eventually; using the free speech to abuse its protectors. The promise of Maxim Litvinov and the pledge of the U.S.S.R. to refrain absolutely from any attempt to propagandize the United States, to seek the destruction of its government, was another of those celebrated "scraps of paper."

It must be emphasized again that Russia's democracy is the democracy of Stalin and a small, ruthless ruling mob. This mob in 1937 "purged" itself by executing from 500 to 1,000 members of the Communist party, and officials high in the Communist government. Those who were unable to see democracy the way Joe Stalin saw it were given a one-treatment cure. They were marched out to a wall and shot dead.

In 1935 the Communist International held its seventh Congress in red Moscow. In between huzzahs for Stalin and his world-plotters, the "Trojan horse" system was discussed and accepted as a matter of policy. Under this system respectable organizations, such as labor movements, religious groups, and patriotic societies, were to be entered by Communist inflamers, who would preach their doctrine quietly but firmly and eventually steal the organization with its treasury, its good will, and its respected name.

This system replaced the archaic and discredited device of setting up strictly Communist organizations, which would operate under strictly Communist auspices. Taking a bold step, the Comintern in 1935 thus determined to steal every respectable organization upon which it could lay its blood-drenched hands.

How well did this policy succeed? Admirably. The satanically clever Reds marched wholesale into American labor organizations. The organizations thereupon unknowingly bade farewell to respect for law, order and social justice. The Reds marched into government. The government thereupon blushed with a distinctly pink hue. The Reds marched into religious societies. The societies became more and more concerned with "democracy" in Russia, Mexico and Spain, and less and less concerned with democracy in America. The Reds even made a bid for the 20,000,000 Roman Catholics resident in the United States. The Reds were given a firm kick on the dorsal side by approximately 19,999,000 of these Roman Catholics. Some decided to "tolerate" them; others to "compromise" with them, and a negligible few saw great advantages in joining them.

* * *

The utter boldness of the Browders, the Hathaways, the Fosters and the rest was indicated in this bid to America's Catholics. This bid indicated also that Communist propaganda, which was supposed to be non-existent under terms of United States-Russian recognition, had reached its apex.

The Communists, also a matter of policy, habitually refer to all who oppose them as "reactionaries." Accepting their term for what it is worth, it now is time for the "reactionaries" to "react" against the Communists. Since their government—Russia, not the United States, for that's where their allegiance is—has broken its treaty with us, let us now withdraw recognition!

The Soviet can do us no good. To borrow an old Marxian adage, we have "nothing to lose but our chains" —the chains that bind us to this Godless Russian "democracy," with its atheistic aim of world-conquest.

We have nothing to lose; we have much to gain by breaking with bloody Russia. We stand to save our liberty, our democracy, our schools, churches and Christianity.

It is time to deal with the treaty-breakers, assuredly. Let us start with the most notorious, most harmful treaty-breaker of them all, Russia!

What! Moscow Spies?

Dim-Wit Nazi Spies Provoke Banner Headlines; Super-Clever Russians Provoke Only A Yawn

The nation dealt swiftly and efficiently with a quartet of idiotic Nazi spies, found to have in their possession information which could be duplicated in any American library. Good enough. Spying is bad business and should be punished.

But the nation is not so zealous to ferret out, pressify and punish those spies who steal for Godless Russia information pertaining to our army and navy defenses to aid the Comintern in its policy of world conquest.

Why? Perhaps "collective security" is the reason. Since we are all "democracies," what matter if Russia steal us blind, or if Russian agents overrun our naval and military bases, seeking what they may steal to benefit their own country?

Recently Mrs. Natasha Gorin, wife of an official of a Soviet Travel Bureau, was indicted by a Federal Grand Jury. She was accused of participating in a plot to steal confidential records and information of the United States Naval Intelligence.

Although Mrs. Gorin's husband, Mikhail Gorin, had been arrested a month earlier and placed under $25,000 bond on spy charges, the press saw nothing more than routine stuff in the two arrests. Few newspapers printed the item at all. Of those that did few accorded it more than a one, two or three paragraph treatment.

Lamentably absent were the whoop-de-doo, la-le-da and wild shrieking that always accompany a hissing, sizzling, boiling and stewing "NAZI SPY PLOT"!

* * *

Gorin and an assistant were indicted on spy charges after it was ascertained data pertaining to Japanese naval secrets had been stolen from the Naval Intelligence files. Gorin's assistant, Hafis Salich, was implicated in this affair. A Soviet consular official immediately flew from New York to Los Angeles to effect the release of Gorin, and his American-born assistant.

MRS. NATASHA GORIN, wife of Mikhail Gorin, Russian Travel Bureau Manager.

There is ample justification for chasing the Nazi spies through the banner headlines. It should be done. But the same treatment should be meted out to spies of Soviet Russia, especially those who use positions of authority to impose on confidence; to spew their poisonous propaganda, and to steal military and naval secrets.

PRODUCED BY UNZ.ORG
ELECTRONIC REPRODUCTION PROHIBITED

Is a New World War Inevitable?



The People of No Modern Nation Want War

In spite of all the gloomy forecasts of the Communists and their fellow-travelers who write newspaper columns, no one wants another World War except the professional trouble makers—reckless politicians, and blood business profiteers. The spirit of revenge on behalf of a persecuted race minority will not become a sufficient cause of war—*if enough people come to know about it.*

Germany does not want war, because, as the New Germany observes its sixth anniversary, the nation is engaged in fulfilling its manifest destiny—the domination of the Danube and the empire in south-eastern Europe. One may dislike Hitler's methods and disagree with the Nazi gospel, but the victories he has gained *without bloodshed* are in accordance with economic and historic necessity. Eighty to one hundred million Germans in the heart of Europe simply cannot be ignored. German "domination" in that part of the world is a "natural"; whereas an attempt at the same sort of "domination" by France would be an "unnatural."

The region yielded to Germany for commercial penetration is so immense that it will keep German enterprise busy for decades. If Germany should regain the colonies of which she was deprived by the treaty of Versailles, more of her surplus energies will be engaged. She will not be in a position financially, or in a military sense, to take on new enemies and new tasks.

France does not want war. France is more secure today than she was when she "ringed Germany with a circle of hate." Daladier had the courage to break with the heritage of Clemenceau. France, of all nations, is self-contained and will be kept sufficiently busy with problems of internal order and the exploitation of her colonial empire. Inasmuch as Hitler has surrendered Germany's claim to Alsace-Lorraine, there is no reason why these two powers cannot work together harmoniously.

Great Britain does not want war. The British Empire was all but destroyed by the impact of the last war; it cannot withstand another. The interests of the English people; and the interests of the people of the Dominions, are more and more in conflict with the interests of exploiting internationalists who operate behind the "empire front." It is the latter, not the people, who agitate for war.

Italy does not want war. She is a poor country and has already bitten off all that she can chew in Ethiopia. Mussolini has worked wonders in bringing the country to its present state of progress. It is but natural for the Italian people to feel pride in these achievements and to face the future with ambition. But, with a little common sense and generosity on the part of England and France, it should not be difficult to "appease" Italian demands. Some appeasement will be necessary; some sacrifice must be made.

Russia does not want war—at present—and if Russians must do the fighting. It is obvious that the entire Bolshevist regime is scandalously inefficient and out of joint. It is incapable of waging war, except ideologically. Communists prefer penetration by intrigue to penetration by war. Dictator Stalin *does not dare arm his own people*. If it should become necessary to clean up Russia, Germany can do it, if she were backed up by England, Italy and France. But even that need not mean a world war; it would be a cleaning up process, a crusade, if you will, to rescue the Russian people from their Communist oppressors.

Japan does not want war. She has more problems than she can solve in a century, in bringing order and progress to the Orient, and checkmating Communism in China.

South American nations do not want war.

Central America does not want war.

Canada does not want war—and the American people do not want war, no matter how truculently Messrs. Ickes and Roosevelt may talk. We are still suffering from the ravages of the last war.

The only "war" which the American people favor is a war against depression and unemployment. The "conquest" toward which they aspire is the rescue of this nation from exploiting international financiers. And, sooner or later, they will fight for that.

No one in all Europe is willing to fight a war *for any theory of government*. There is reason for hoping that after some of the injustices of minor treaties are righted, we may actually see a Golden Age of peace and prosperity, with friendly rivalry, instead of hate, between the great powers.

Only an act of stark madness can precipitate another war while the memory of the World War lasts. The "common multiple" in these accords between the present powers is the determination to expose and identify the racialists and intriguing internationalists who would brew such madness. Another general war will spell the end of western civilization and the death of liberty everywhere — make no mistake about that.

The task of averting it is EVERY MAN'S job.

INVITING DANGER

ACME

Our $25-Million Loan to China Is a Direct Affront to Neutrality

There is a law upon the statute books of the United States that authorizes the President to determine whether a state of war or civil strife exists in any portion of the world. And this statute provides that when the President shall determine that such a state of war exists, *it becomes his duty to prevent the extension of aid in any form whatsoever to any of the contending parties.*

The purpose of that statute was to keep the American people out of war.

More than that—and worse than that—was the recent announcement that the Export-Import Bank of Washington, D. C., a Government institution, had loaned $25-million to China. Under existing conditions, can anybody delude himself that such an action is unneutral?

This loan to China has aroused amazement and anger in Japan. Will any one deny that commercial credits for the promotion of commerce in non-contraband do not release credits for the purchase of war materials? *Let us not be deluded by lip service to the cause of peace.*

As individuals, Americans may, and do, deplore the Japanese invasion of China. But, does that mean that we shall approve the domination of vast areas of China by the ruthless Communist regime now in control of Russia? What protest have we made against that?

Americans may, and do, deplore "atrocities" reported from Germany, but does that justify the withdrawal by our Government of its American ambassador in such fashion as to constitute an official rebuke respecting a domestic policy of no direct concern to the people of the United States?

There is an inconsistency in this policy of passing judgment on other nations by this Administration.

You are conjured to demand of our Government *neutrality in fact* and *neutrality in spirit.*

The time for Americans to protest against an evil policy is BEFORE IT IS TOO LATE, and before conditions reach such a state that, of necessity, we are compelled to support the Administration, right or wrong.

War Mongers Set Dates

For Conflict, But Never for Peace!

The war mongers have come through with the date of the next likely crisis and it seems to have as much sense as previous predictions. It is April 1st! In view of the fact that this is the traditional "April Fool's Day", perhaps the drum-beaters want to put irony into their little joker, or are again taking the people of the world for a ride by their jingoistic utterances.

As "evidence" that this is the likely starting date for trouble, the news dispatches give only the fact that *"by April there will be sufficient daylight for raiding bombers to return to their home bases without turning on field lights, which would invite counter-attacks."*

As if daylight were the only indispensible for the commencement of a war!

We have not forgotten how these same propagandists, last year, kept the people in jitters by bulletin flashes: It was to be August 15th, then September 1st, then September 15th and, finally, October 1st.

Perhaps we should be grateful to the war mongers for notifying us in advance of their intended crises. But is it not regrettable that they NEVER GIVE ANY PEACE DATES, when people can forget about the peril of war and turn to economic security?

The latest propaganda of war jitters emanates from the City—that is to say, the "Wall Street"—of London and is obviously inspired by the alarm of the internationalists that a "ring of dictators" threatens their "empire" racket.

With Gen. Franco victorious in Spain, Mussolini and Hitler determined to thwart Communist war efforts, and Dr. Salazar demonstrating daily the workableness of Christian corporativism in a modern state, the leftist internationals see themselves checkmated by Rightist programs of sanity.

PRODUCED BY UNZ.ORG
ELECTRONIC REPRODUCTION PROHIBITED

The Mystical Body of Satan

Part Two: The Organized Opposition of the "Body of Satan"



***By* W. J. RANDALL, C.M.S.**

It is clear what is meant by the Mystical Body of Christ. This will help us to understand the nature of the "mystical body of Satan."

It is that corporate body (or society, which exists in the world under the leadership of the devil. It consists of those men and women who in all ages *are united by some evil principle* (or a number of evil principles) against the Church and its teaching.

Just as the members of the Mystical Body of Christ are not all together (as St. Thomas points out) but include those of all ages of history, so in the case of the mystical body of Satan: it embraces the enemies of Christ and His Church through the ages.

In the Christian era it commenced with the "synagogue" or "assembly" of Satan (a thing mentioned in the *"Apocalypse"*), when the majority of the first-century Jews took the side of Satan against Christ. It continued in the persecuting civil rulers of Rome —the Caesars and their officers up to the time of Constantine. It was renewed for a brief space under Julian the Apostate. It has been repeatedly revived in the history of almost every nation since—in the wild polytheistic tribes of barbarism, in the kings and emperors who fought the Popes; in the heresiarchs (who flourished upon material lies at least); in the Mohammedan madness of the Arabian desert; in the anti-social vices of the Cathari or Albigenses; in the great defection of the 16th century "Reformation."

The false and fatal philosophies of the 17th to 19th centuries are but another phase of its activity—for these are all reducible to a denial of some Catholic doctrine, and hence to an attack on that doctrine's guardian, the Church. One moral bond united them all (using the term "moral" in the sense of "intellectual")—the bond of opposition to traditional Christianity.

Though Satan has not been permitted to appear in the world in person and to found one visibly united society as an exact replica of the Christian Church, he has nevertheless led groups of men whose thought and action may be summed up in one phrase—anti-Christianity.

These groups, viewed as a whole in the course of history, CONSTITUTE THE MYSTICAL BODY OF SATAN.

* * *

And what of today?

In our time the machinations of the society of Satan have crystallized into a definite form—a form which the present Pope has characterized as "the foremost, the greatest, the most universal evil in the world." Before we consider that, let us glance rapidly at the chief forms of machination which preceded it in the previous two centuries, and paved the way for the climacteric devil-flower of our own day.

We may conveniently classify these activities under the heads of (a) Rationalism; (b) Liberalism; and (c) Freemasonry. The first two may be considered as the theoretical side of the third, which is concretely active.

Rationalism. – This, in the broad sense, means the system of thought which makes reason exhaustive and supreme: in the words of the Rationalist Press Association—"the supremacy of reason as the natural and necessary means to all such knowledge and wisdom as man can achieve." It has nowadays more or less degenerated into a mere attitude of mind, but an attitude which is still noxiously powerful; since it decapitates reason whose supreme achievement is to lead men to the threshold of God's revelation. It has existed at least as a tendency since ancient times, but became acute in the period termed the "Enlightenment" – roughly the time-arch of the 17th and 18th centuries (1670-1750). It includes the English and French materialists who (at least unwittingly) became a network of strings pulled lustily by Satan, causing confusion in thought and morals. It was the philosophic urge behind the French Revolution.

Liberalism. – As Rationalism demands absolute supremacy of reason, not only in reason's proper sphere but beyond it, so Liberalism demands entire unrestraint in thought in every department; hence a revelation on divine authority goes to the winds. Like Rationalism, Liberalism as a tendency is age-long, but became acute in the same period, to meet its formal condemnation by Pius IX (in 1864) and Pius X (in 1907). Twin-children of error, Rationalism and Liberalism paved the way in the atmosphere of thought to the third form of Satanic machination we have mentioned—**Freemasonry;** that cult being born in, and flourishing by, the "Enlightenment" of the early 18th century.

* * *

And so, finally, we ask the question—what of today? What is the form of the specially organized opposition to the Church of God in our own generation? The answer is—it is that organization which is ruled by a doctrine characterized by Pius IX as "infamous" and by Leo XIII as a "fatal plague," and by Pius XI as the "greatest and most universal evil of the world." It is the organization of Soviet Russia—atheistic Communism.

We have recently considered its philosophy and its practice (SOCIAL JUSTICE, Dec. 12, p. 15); so that here we have only to emphasize its position in the age-long organization we set out to study—the mystical body of Satan. There are several well-defined characteristics in Soviet Communism which make it peculiarly qualified to represent the society of Satan in the world today. It is thus qualified because it is:

(a) Militantly atheistic;
(b) Highly organized;
(c) Definitely located;
(d) World-wide in activity.

This is a very remarkable group of qualifications—and it sets before us a "well-organized, well-officered and well-disciplined" corporation, a "city" which is anti-heavenly, a society actuated by the axioms of Satan.

If that complex character to which it owes its origin (Lenin) and his successor (Stalin) are not individually anti-Christ, at least their organization is indubitably and aggressively anti-Christian; and in fact diabolic to the last degree. Its aim, as the Pope has said, is the overthrow of the Christian social order; hence of the Christian and Catholic Church; hence of social justice.

It was born in the crucible of chaos and revolution, and it feeds on these only to mock the very meat it feeds on.

Wide World

Will the Catholic Church BE HITLER'S WATERLOO?

The Nazi (Party's) attack upon "the race of Christ" and the Church of Christ focuses world attention upon the undeclared war between Hitler and the Vatican. Hitler's enemies ask with glee, his friends with profound alarm: "Will National Socialism founder on the Rock of Peter?" . . .

When His enemies attempted to entrap him in the toils of the Roman law, Jesus confounded them by saying: *"Render unto Caesar the things which are Caesar's; and unto God the things that are God's!"* Unfortunately, the limitations of that which is God's and that which is Caesar's have never been clearly established. . . .

Hitler has proclaimed that Germany is a "Christian state", but has entrusted its intellectual and cultural life to Dr. Alfred Rosenberg, whose books are banned by the Pope as anti-Christian. Hitler himself was baptized a Catholic. Goebbels received his training at the hands of the Jesuits. But Hitler has not protected the church from insult, and Goebbels has inveighed against his teachers.

Hitler made a treaty or "Concordat" with the church under the persuasion of von Papen. But almost from the beginning there were disputes . . . The situation is complicated by the fact that in Germany *both the Protestant and Catholic churches are supported by taxes.*

A totalitarian state based on race must necessarily clash with a universal church which embraces all races. Germany, like Italy, forbids marriages between Aryan and non-Aryan Christians. The church does not encourage such marriages, but cannot forbid them without questioning the validity of its own sacraments . . .

Certain radical factions among the Nazis welcome a split between the church and state . . . To break the hold of the church, these Nazis have instituted a number of sensational trials against priests and lay brothers on the ground of "immorality." *Only six of one thousand priests were found guilty.*

The Pope points out in his encyclical (*"Mit Brennender Sorge,"* issued on Passion Sunday, March 14, 1937) that he has sought at all times peace with Germany. He accuses the Nazis of violating the "natural law," the law "written by the hand of the Creator on the tablet of the heart" . . .

As yet neither the Pope nor the Fuehrer has denounced the Concordat. The Papal Nuncio is still in Berlin; the German ambassador has not been recalled from the Vatican.

"My only hope," a German bishop remarked to me in Rome, "is this: One of those sudden inspirations that enabled Hitler to make peace with England, France and Poland, may enable him to make his peace with the church."

Donald Furthman Wickets in "Liberty" Magazine

PRODUCED BY UNZ.ORG
ELECTRONIC REPRODUCTION PROHIBITED



'Suez' Film Challenged

Son of De Lesseps Sues in Paris to Suppress 'Libelous' Movie

ANOTHER case of the movie makers' mutilation of history to serve the purposes of propaganda comes to light in the Paris courts where a suit is pending to sequester the film *"Suez."*

Paul De Lesseps, son of the famous canal builder, Ferdinand De Lesseps, charges that the picture libels his distinguished father. The picture makers assigned to De Lesseps a "role which was not in his character and which did not relate to the nature of his elevated ambitions," the suit charges.

A similar application was filed by Illan Alvarez De Toledo, Marquis of Casa Fuerte, grand-nephew of the Empress Eugenie, who charges that the role attributed to the Empress is "both historically untrue and injurious to her memory."

* * *

The propagandist control of the motion picture industry has long been evidenced by the production of such blatant leftist films as *"Blockade";* while the same influences boycott other pictures not acceptable to their minority. The most flagrant, if not the latest, instance of this boycott was detailed in SOCIAL JUSTICE last week. The masterful picturization of *"Golgotha,"* representing the Passion and death of Christ, has been successfully barred from film showing in city after city. An organized opposition charges that any picturing of the trial and death of Christ is "anti-Semitic."

Readers of SOCIAL JUSTICE have been *far* ahead of the movie public. They had the inside story on the Suez canal "racket" more than six months ago. The propagandist nature of the motion picture no doubt was intended by those who control the cinema to further "condition" the public and so overcome the little revelation that SOCIAL JUSTICE was able to let in upon this international hold-up.

* * *

The Suez canal, a vital artery of the world's commerce, is not owned by Great Britain as the propagandists would have the public believe, but by *a private French corporation dominated and controlled by the Rothschilds, international Jewish bankers.*

Since 1875 until the 15th of last December the toll charges for all the shipping of the world passing through the canal had remained unchanged. A six cents per ton reduction—from $1.44 to $1.38—was "volunteered" by the canal owners in December, based upon "a decision arrived at last July." By an odd coincidence, the SOCIAL JUSTICE exposure of the "Toll Gate Keepers of Suez" immediately preceded this decision.

Premier Mussolini, whose country is the second largest user of the canal, due to the Ethiopian development, had asked for a voice in this important private monopoly.

Edgar Bonnet, vice president of the board of administration of the Canal company, has issued a warning that the Suez Canal Company will not grant to the Fascist government any share in the control of the canal; nor will it permit any alteration of its concession; *nor will the owners permit the canal to be made the subject of diplomatic negotiations under any circumstances.*

That's telling 'em, eh, Mr. Rothschild!

"So what?" the owners answer.

FEDERAL THEATRE MISNOMER

JUDGING by the subversive propaganda being dispensed through the medium of many Federal Theatre presentations, the project bears a misnomer. It well could be called "Communist Theatre Project."

If Mr. Stalin himself had subsidized the Federal Theatre program he scarcely could have accomplished more than his Red agents have at the expense of American taxpayers.

That Communism has penetrated the project is practically undisputed except those self-styled "liberals" who bury their heads in sand and refuse to recognize that Communism exists in the United States.

Representative J. Parnell Thomas of New Jersey called attention of Congress recently to the theatre fare being dished out by a Federal Theatre group in New York City. From the floor of the House he told his colleagues that patriotic bodies, veterans organizations and city police officials have protested to Mrs. Hallie Flanagan, national director of the Federal Theatre Project, against radical, Communistic doctrines in certain Federal Theatre plays.

He named, among others, a play entitled "Revolt of the Beavers," allegedly a fairy tale for amusement of youngsters. He revealed that Byrnes MacDonald, a deputy police commissioner of New York City, had written a letter to Mrs. Flanagan in which he condemned the play for its obvious Communistic philosophy. In the letter, which Thomas produced, MacDonald quoted the review by Dramatic Critic Atkinson of the *New York Times* as follows:

"The style is playful; the mood is gravely gay and simple-minded. Many children now unschooled in the technique of revolution now have an opportunity, at Government expense, to improve their tender minds. Mother Goose is no longer a rhymed escapist. She has been studying Marx; Jack and Jill lead the class revolution."

That was not Father Coughlin speaking. It was one of America's eminent drama critics. He can see readily—though the Government can not—how the forces of Communism are poisoning the minds of American youth and planting the seed of revolution.

The Trojan Horse has become a Trojan Pony. And in his saddle is Uncle Sam himself.

Modernize the Railroads

A Plan Under Which Congress Might "Do Something" About the Transportation Problem

RAILROADS have contributed much in the development of the United States. Without them, the phenomenal development of our country would have been impossible in so short a time.

But railroad equipment is now as obsolete as was the "One Hoss Shay" when Henry Ford developed his gasoline motor.

The nation is confronted with a railroad problem. About a year ago Mr. Roosevelt dumped this problem in the lap of Congress and, in effect, said: "Do something about it."

* * *

Congress could do something about it. Congress could modernize our railroads with the following simple program:

1. Modernize the railroad beds by reducing them to solid concrete highways which will be privately owned by the railroads.

2. Consolidate the co-ordination of the railroads. For example, there are four lines running between Detroit and Chicago. Make them co-operative by using two lines for passengers and mail and two lines for freight, perishable and imperishable.

3. Modernize the railroad cars by building them smaller; by rubberizing their wheels and by employing Diesel motors instead of steam engines. Either gasoline or other fuel can be used to generate power.

4. Compel all public transportation to utilize these modernized highways, including the privately-owned trucks engaged in transporting merchandise. This means that the private motor transport corporations will be compelled to co-operate and consolidate with the railroads or else pay rent for using the railroad right of way which is now privately owned and which will continue to be privately owned.

5. Use public highways owned by the State, County or municipality for private motor cars.

* * *

Today Congress is contemplating an appropriation of $300-million to alleviate the economic pressure placed upon our railroad system. Congress is contemplating using this sum to perpetuate an obsolete system.

Why not use this money as a first appropriation to modernize the entire system of railroads?

During the past twenty years railroad industry has decreased employment although at one juncture it increased its operations. By adopting this program of modernization, or one similar to it, railroad employment will increase by more than 200 per cent; the unemployment problem of the nation will be solved in great part; the railroads will be saved; a source of profitable investment will be offered to millions of persons; it will relieve the Government from issuing future subsidies for obsolete rolling stock and road beds; it will be a productive investment for the Government instead of an unproductive loan.

These are but a few of the advantages.

Moreover, the consolidation of all railroads would abolish the uneconomic competition now in vogue. It would mean speedier service at lower cost. It would create a boom to the steel, rubber, oil, glass, motor and every other manufacturing unit in the United States.

Moreover, it would enable the railroads, privately owned, to meet their tax bills and at the same time reduce taxation as a whole on the general public.

* * *

We offer the above suggestions to the Seventy-Sixth Congress for what they are worth.

Again, let us save the railroads by consolidating them and modernizing them. They will help save America by contributing to the solution of the unemployment and other economic problems confronting us.

The Frisco railroad has opened a truck line in Joplin, Missouri and Paris, Texas. The Pennsylvania railroad already is operating Greyhound bus lines throughout the nation.

If these railroads see the advantage of operating trucks on public highways owned by the state, *why would it not be profitable for them to operate these same modernized railroad trucks on their own private highways?*

* * *

Billions of dollars have been made by the pioneer promoters of railroads. They and their investors have profited handsomely in the past. True.

But let us be sensible: The railroads *made it possible for other Americans to make billions of dollars.*

At this juncture we must not adopt the philosophy of the dog in the manger and say that we will do nothing for the railroads. Nor should we adopt the more foolish philosophy of nationalizing them.



PRODUCED BY UNZ.ORG
ELECTRONIC REPRODUCTION PROHIBITED



Persecution . . .

Not so long ago Father Cox of Pittsburgh was indicted on a charge related to selling lottery tickets. Father Cox has been a friend of the poor and a good priest for whom all Christians should have respect.

I make reference to his being indicted simply to draw a parallel. It is this: No priest in Germany has been indicted under the Hitler regime.

Ninety-five per cent of Germany is Christian. Children attend public schools. But priests and nuns, selected by their bishops, and ministers and teachers, selected by the various Protestant churches, are paid by the government to enter these public schools and teach the children the religion of their parents. There is no such parallel in the United States.

Thousands of Protestant ministers in the United States find it impossible to secure a livelihood from their own parishes. Hundreds of Catholic priests are in the same predicament. In Germany, both priests and ministers are paid handsomely by the government. Moreover, eight per cent of the taxes gathered within the precincts of a parish go to the support of the parish church or Protestant church, as the case may be. There is no such parallel in the United States, particularly among Catholic and Lutheran circles where these denominations are compelled to build their own parochial schools after having paid taxes to build public schools.

According to the concordat signed between the Vatican and the Hitler government, freedom of religion was agreed upon, as was freedom of the pulpit. However, it was also agreed that Catholics, as such, and Protestants, as such, should not form political parties.

How far would a Catholic political party get in the United States?

How far would a Lutheran political party pursue its course?

How far would a Masonic political party proceed?

I am writing these things not in defense of Germany. I am writing them to point out how impractical it is for those who are responsible to over-emphasize the persecution of Catholicism and Protestantism in Germany when so many inequalities exist in our own country, sufficient to engage our attention.

In Germany there were immorality trials. One hundred and forty-seven lay brothers' communities are still operating and fifteen were closed. Among the fifteen closed, there were religious communities which cared chiefly for mental cases and such like, where the brothers were not so efficient to take care of their charges as would be the state.

Certainly the Church has some reason to complain about Germany, but be it remembered that if the National Socialist Party had not taken over Germany when it did, Communism would be there today and not one religious community would be in existence; not one priest or nun or bishop would be alive, if we can rest a conclusion upon what happened in Russia or Spain.

There are two sides to this German question when viewed from this angle. Only one side has been emphasized in our press.

By the way, I have copies in my vault of the largest daily newspapers published in Berlin before the advent of Hitler. On the front page of one, those who complain loudest about the present persecution in Germany were responsible for printing fulsome advertisement copy instructing the German people how to practice birth control. In our present American publications advertisements are run on this same subject. Our magazines are filled with filth: Is this not a form of persecution which is receiving little or no attention? Should we not fear those more who kill the soul than those who kill the body?

Since the advent of Hitler in Germany, this form of spiritual persecution does not exist. Catholics and Protestants are spared, both in the press, in the magazines, in the cinema and over the radio, the frontal attacks against the virtues of purity and domesticity which are rampant in America.

I wonder if we are more interested in the persecution of Christians in Germany than we are in the persecution of Christians in America. I am reminded of the man who is more concerned with the moat in his brother's eye than he is with the beam in his own.

Social Justice has been surfeited with all this anti-German, anti-Italian, anti-Spanish propaganda. At length, and at considerable expense, this publication sent to Europe competent investigators to bring back to our readers the true, unbiased story of Germany, Italy, Spain, France and England. Articles are now being prepared in our editorial office by one of our editors from the material which he himself gathered in these countries during the past three months.

Beginning the week after next, these articles will appear in Social Justice.

Neither you nor your friends can afford to miss them.

I am beginning to understand why I have been dubbed a "Nazi" or a "Fascist" by the Jewish publications in America; for practically all the sixteen principles of social justice are being put into practice in Italy and in Germany.

I am not inferring that the Hitler government or the Mussolini government are 100 per cent in accord with the Catholic Church, nor am I defending any point in which they are in conflict with the Vatican. Neither is the United States, England or France in complete accord 100 per cent with "Quadragesimo Anno" or the doctrines of the Catholic Church.

I am inferring that we have a gross exaggeration of the negatives and a gross under-estimation of the positives put into practice by Italy and Germany, thanks to the Jewish influence in government, journalism and finance in our own country.

Democracy . . .

England. The World War was fought by the Allies to make the world safe for democracy.

The Allies won the war, and, is it not logical to assume that democracy has been saved?

Last Sunday I pointed out that Great Britain is in no sense of the word a democracy. It is purely and simply an empire. It is purely and simply the antithesis of democracy insofar as 4-million Englishmen and Scotchmen, all enjoying the right of a democratic ballot, elect Members of Parliament for England and Scotland and, in turn, dominate the imperial destinies of 340-million non-Englishmen and non-Scotchmen resident in India and in other countries whose populations have no imperial vote and over whose public institutions there is unfurled the British Union Jack.

England and Scotland are democracies in one sense. But Great Britain, which term connotes the empire, is a democracy in no sense of the word.

Thus we fought the World War not for democracy but for the perpetuation of imperialism.

* * *

Deception. What group of clever, scheming propagandists sold the credulous Americans on fighting to make the world safe for democracy?

President Wilson, a learned man who knew the truth about these empires, helped to popularize this lie.

The American press, whose editors are educated men, helped spread it.

Above all, the United States Congress, before which all the facts of history both ancient and modern are as an open book, declared war and injected this nation into the broils of imperial Britain, France and Germany, and shared in this deceit.

The President, the press and the people's elected representatives in this "democratic" country stand indicted before the bar of justice for the infamous part they played in spreading and protecting the "lie immortal."

But, behind them, there were other powers which played their part in helping to deceive Americans and breed the propaganda of "making the world safe for democracy." Submission to these unseen powers was humbly made by the President, the press and the people's representatives.

* * *

Question. Are these same unseen powers still active?

Do our President, our press and our representatives still glory in a democracy in America which is not a democracy as long as they bow before the unseen powers which regulate them?

Democracy is the rule of the people. American democracy, modifying and defining that generic definition, is, in the abstract, a form of representative government within the Constitution.

In other words, the Constitution is our book of rules. It is a book of rules freely accepted by all our citizens and, in effect, says: "We, the people, rule. We, the people, are the law. But, we will rule according to the Constitution and we will make laws, execute them and judge them only within the limits of the Constitution. We do not plan to rule outside this clearly defined, reasonable sphere."

That is what I mean by a limited democracy, by a constitutional democracy, in which all our citizens participate through the exercise of their voting rights.

Is this limited democracy functioning in the United States?

Danger. Germany, after the empire and after the democracy of the Second Reich, rejected democracy as we interpret it. So did Italy.

Why, we ask? Because it was a democracy that was not a democracy. It was a bedfellow of that same kind of democracy for which we fought the World War. It was dominated, unknown to the people, by men who used the people's representatives as their servants. It was controlled by outsiders who held the purse strings of the nation so closely guarded that they were able to confiscate property, practice usury, impede general progress and exploit the citizens.

There can be no democracy unless there is financial and economic democracy. The right to vote does not constitute democracy if the men whom the voters elect are subservient in matters monetary and economic to an invisible dictator or group of dictators.

Lacking either the power, ability or courage to use the wealth of the nation for the benefit of the nation, a duly elected group of people's representatives are nothing more than false fronts for the real rulers behind the scenes.

Thus, the democracy of the United States will survive or fail on this point.

At present Congress has the power to coin and regulate the value of money which is the common denominator of all trade, commerce, wages and profit.

The Constitution, our book of rules, gave Congress this power, but Congress does not use it. Instead, by the Federal Reserve Act of 1913, Congress has surrendered this power to a group of internationalists who issue and restrict money and thus cause needless depressions and want in the midst of plenty.

By the Gold Act of 1934 and by the creation of the Stabilization Fund, Congress has gone even one step further and has totally surrendered its democratic right over all the national wealth-creating and wealth-distributing agencies in our country.

Further still, it has conspired with these internationalists in perpetuating this financial imperialism in Great Britain and France.

This form of democracy cannot last and should not last.

Either Congress will rescue its power and actively issue and regulate money, or this false front of American democracy will be abandoned here as it was in Italy and Germany.

Father Coughlin

PRODUCED BY UNZ.ORG
ELECTRONIC REPRODUCTION PROHIBITED

NATIONAL WEEKLY

Social Justice

Founded 1936 by Father Coughlin

Rev. Chas. E. Coughlin, LL.D.

EDITORIAL COUNSEL

Published by The Social Justice Publishing Co.

16 PRINCIPLES OF SOCIAL JUSTICE

Liberty of conscience and education.
Just living annual wage.
Nationalization of important public resources.
Private ownership of all other property.
Control of private property for public good.
Abolition of Federal Reserve Banking System and establishment of a government-owned Central Bank.
Restoration to Congress of its sole right to coin and regulate the value of money.
Cost of living maintained on an even keel.
Cost of production plus a fair profit for the farmer.
Labor's right to organize.
Recall of non-productive bonds.
Abolition of tax-exempt bonds.
Broadened base of taxation on basis of ownership and capacity to pay.
Simplification of government and lower taxes.
Conscription of wealth as well as men in event of war.
Sanctity of human rights preferred to sanctity of property with government's chief concern for the poor.

Gold Is The Answer

LET US LOOK for a moment behind all this talk of an *inevitable* clash between the "democracies" and "dictator" nations.

What the President told the Senate Military Affairs Committee in confidence about his secret plans for this democracy is not so important as is the fact that the United States is being secretly committed to a new league of "democratic" nations against an arbitrary selection of dictator powers.

Why?

What have the "democracies"—where continuing depressions daily verge toward the chaos of Communism – to fear from nations in which dictators have cured depression and stopped Communism?

Obviously, one thing only, namely their competition, if we were to be permitted a decade of peace. Merely calling, on the one hand, the grateful supporters of these dictators "slaves," and on the other the ill-clad, ill-housed, and under-nourished jobless of the democracies "free men" will not long satisfy the democrats. Unless the internationalist exploiters get a war started somewhere, these people will start to think for themselves—in spite of controlled propaganda.

The line-up is more than "democracies" against dictatorships. Actually, it is Capitalist nations against "non-Capitalist" countries – the gold nations against the goldless nations. And the Capitalist democracies with the gold still have depression, while the moneyless dictators have recovered and are on their way toward prosperity and contentment.

There is further food for thought in the fact that Capitalism and Communism are on the same side! For years Father Coughlin has been telling the people of this country that Capitalism and Communism were the right and left hand of the same internationalist domination.

If there is one thing that characterizes the dictator nations – widely different as are the German, Italian and Spanish experiments—it is their common experience in throwing out the internationalists—both of Capitalism and Communism—together with the politicians who took their orders.

Each of the dictatorships also has established a national money system, free from the internationalists' control. America, England and France —not one of which countries is actually a freely functioning democracy—with four-fifths of the world's gold, and all the power of financial imperialism to save them if it wanted to, still have unemployment, hunger, depression and doles.

Does Not Take Dictator

DICTATORSHIP is by no means an essential to an honest reform that would throw out international financial dictatorship and restore the people's resources. An *informed democracy* could do it, too.

But it will have to be an *informed democracy*. Informing the people is a doubly difficult task when their newspapers, the radio and their motion picture screen – the three most effective avenues of information—are controlled by flagless exploiters.

But we are fast making progress. The people of this nation are awake and eager to know the facts that their exploiters are desperately trying to conceal from them. You readers of SOCIAL JUSTICE have a wonderful opportunity to share your privilege with less informed Americans. Pass on your information, lend them your SOCIAL JUSTICE: better still, do your friend the favor of subscribing for him.

South America

WHAT DO WE actually know about South America?

How much did our school textbooks tell us about the countries, the cities and the neighboring peoples who should be our closest friends?

Perhaps, because they happen to be Latins, our textbook writers conspired to regard them as strangers. The South American nations we so often picture vaguely as vast areas of unexplored wilderness, with a fringe of cities peopled by Europeans, and a setting for comic opera revolutions, are more worthy of our attention than the imperialistic countries of Europe. Did it ever occur to you that probably this picture was created for our deception?

It took an earthquake in Chile to inform many Americans that there were cities of such size down there!

Did you know by any chance that there are four million residents in the city of Buenos Aires? A beautiful city, in many ways superior to our own. The average American is completely ignorant of the fact that there are 25 million people down in Argentine—45 millions in Brazil, and that Rio de Janeiro has a population of two million.

These South Americans are not savages, or wild men. They are educated, with a culture as old and honorable as our own, with the finest of Spanish and Italian pioneering contributions. They are not totalitarians. As yet, neither the Sassoons nor the Montefiores have gained a foothold there.

Despite their "Latin temperament" and their cultural sympathies with south Europe, every one of the South American republics took as its model the United States Constitution! They want to be our good friends and neighbors. And, too, they are Christian nations—practical and practicing in their Christianity.

It is not true that all the products of South America are competitive with our agriculture. Coffee, rubber, beef, spices, oil, gold and silver —all are essential imports; while these South American nations are natural sales fields for the products of American industry. On account of the reversal of the seasons, whereby they have winter in our summer, an exchange of surpluses can balance scarcity in the other continent, even in the case of competitive agricultural products.

If European trade scouts appear to have scored an advantage in penetrating the South American countries, it is because their approach has been with a sensitiveness and a diplomatic sympathy for the Latin viewpoint which we money grabbing Yankees have chosen to ignore.

South American nations will have none of the pagan internationalism for which we have such a puzzling fondness. That was indicated by the *impasse* at Lima. We fellow Americans need to know South America better. Start today, reading up. Better still, if you are planning a vacation go down there and see these neighbors for yourself!

Canada

A UNITED STATES foreign policy that messes around in the affairs of Europe and Asia, to the neglect of opportunities for international cooperation upon this continent is hardly understandable. As if a depression at home were not enough to keep a President and his Cabinet working and worrying day and night, we appear to be preparing for a war which is nowhere in sight unless we create it.

Besides the countries of South America we need to understand and to know better our friendly neighbors in Canada. There is no danger of Communism in Canada. It is a Christian country. Canadians do not go in for the pagan internationalism and the financial imperialism which is the blight of the British empire.

The wealth of trade relations which we can develop with these friendly neighbors is infinitely to be preferred to the secret manufacture of airplanes and war materials to anti-Fascist "democracies," which are not democracies but voracious empires, and which already owe us unpaid billions from the last World War. In some things we Yankees never learn, so they think.

Manifestly, our destiny lies in this hemisphere; in a closer sympathy and understanding of the culture and the mind of our neighbors in Canada and the republics of South America. They are our natural neighbors; friendly intercourse with them is natural and could be expected to be a natural development, but for the deliberate interference of the internationalists.

A Jewish Crucifix?

"YOU ARE WEARING a tiny Jew around your neck!"

So reads a telegram received recently by Father Coughlin. The sender, ostensibly, was one Charles Franklin of the Franklin Sweater Company of Boston, Massachusetts.

While the finer points of Christian theology are not the province of a secular national "views-weekly" like SOCIAL JUSTICE, we may say with all assurance that the lay Christian does not regard his crucifix as a Jewish trinket.

He does not, in fact, think of Christ as a Jew, despite the accident of His race or nationality, color or complexion. To be sure, Christ was a Jew—but, to the believing Christian, He is also God. Furthermore, we Christians believe that Christ was the Messiah Whose coming into the world Jewish Prophets had foretold over many centuries; and Whom contemporary Jews killed upon a Cross.

The little crucifix that a Christian "wears around his neck," or carries reverently in a purse or pocket, is—to him—a souvenir of God dying upon a Cross from the sins of men—all men, Jew and gentile.

That it was a Jewish cross, that the Victim was a repudiated Jewish Messiah, or that it was a Jewish crucifixion, does not occur to the average Christian, until Jews like Mr. Franklin remind us of it.

PRODUCED BY UNZ.ORG
ELECTRONIC REPRODUCTION PROHIBITED

Propaganda Strong, or Gallup Weak?

THE GALLUP POLL, which has traded upon a reputation for accuracy ever since it nosed out the *Literary Digest* in the 1936 election guessing game, invariably is wrong when it's right.

It said the most of the nation favored the Loyalist cause in Spain. And yet the nation petitioned overwhelmingly to let the Communist-Loyalists stew in their own juice when it came to lifting the embargo.

It said President Roosevelt was only slightly less popular now than in 1936. Ask yourself about that one. It said the majority of the people favored the Communists of Russia as against Germany—we're not so sure.

And now the poll contends most of the nation feels we could never stay out of the next war! Either the propaganda of the internationalists is awfully good, or the Gallup poll is awfully bad.

Roosevelt Endangers Peace In Secret Military Sessions

May Have Reached War Pact With France, Is Belief

IN A WEEK MARKED by a complexity of international events, President Roosevelt moved this nation dangerously close to involvement in a possible European war by all but committing the United States to a military alliance with France.

In the same week that brought Adolf Hitler's conciliation speech on behalf of Germany, and Neville Chamberlain's expressed hope for a general, world-wide reduction of armaments, Mr. Roosevelt was found to have conducted secret meetings on foreign policy with military affairs committees of both the Senate and House.

Purpose of the meetings, it was reported, was to impress on the committees his belief that this nation's "frontier lies in France," and that we must prepare to take our place alongside her in the impending, world-wide conflict between the dictatorships and the democratic nations—including, of course, Communist Russia, and the Communist government in Spain.

The President's secret meetings added to the fear already aroused by his approval of the sale of American military warplanes to France—although our own airforce is lamentably weak and in need of reinforcements, according to the President himself.

Although isolationists in both Senate and House in great numbers have insisted that this nation is free of the European embroilment; has no quarrel with any foreign nation, and needs have none, the President, it is understood, feels differently.

When it was revealed that the President held secret meetings on foreign affairs and diplomacy, patriotic members of the Senate and House anonunced angrily this policy went contrary to American tradition, was undemocratic, and should be brought into the open on the floor of the Senate. They affirmed their intention of bringing it there.

Senators Promise To Prevent Any Foreign Tangles

MILITANT MEMBERS of the United States Senate are determined that "secret diplomacy" shall not involve this nation in a possible European war.

Secret meetings of the President with members of the Senate and House military affairs committees, led to a general outcry against this practice. Mr. Roosevelt's alleged statement that the "frontiers of the United States are in France," likewise provoked outright hostility.

It was pointed out that secret military alliances, or even military understandings, at this time of uncertainty in the struggle between the dictatorships and the Communist and Democratic nations abroad, might pitch us into a sudden European war.

Senator Robert R. Reynolds of North Carolina, a member of the Senate military affairs committee, and as such sworn to secrecy in regard to what the President told the committee about foreign policy, announced he was forming the Association of Patriotic Americans; expected to have 1,000,000 members by June 30, and that the group would be pledged to *"strict neutrality and hands off Europe"*—the policy first enunciated by the nation's first president, Washington.

Senator Gerald P. Nye of North Dakota, likewise a member of the "secret" committee, lamented the situation brought about by the President.

"To be sworn to secrecy when there is so much to be said is more distressing than you can ever know," he said.

Senator Hiram W. Johnson of California, who enjoys the singular distinction of having voted in favor of the people most of the time, demanded flatly that the nation be told *"if we are going down the road to war."*

Likewise Senator Bennett Champ Clark of Missouri promised that he would strive to bring about a public explanation of all that has gone on behind closed doors in Washington.

U. S. Buys Stolen Silver From Spain; Franco Government Sues

FROM JANUARY through September of last year, the United States imported silver to the amount of $158,939,500. Of this amount $10-million was purchased from the "Loyalist" government of Spain, and thereby hangs a suit.

The Spanish silver, purchased under authority of the silver purchase act, was paid for in gold by Treasury Secretary Henry Morgenthau, Jr., out of the "stabilization" fund created in 1934. This secret fund of nearly $3-billion was set up under terms of the Gold bill of 1934.

Now the directors of the Bank of Spain have filed suit against the United States Government, charging that the silver was purchased illegally, insofar as it was mined in the territory conquered by the troops of Nationalist Generalissimo Francisco Franco, and was not the property of the Loyalist-Communist government at Barcelona.

The Bank of Spain, while operated by the Loyalist-Communist government, is owned by its stockholders, who were in possession before the outbreak of Civil War. The New York law firm handling the suit on behalf of the Nationalists, is said to represent a majority of the stockholders, who have no connection with the Communist government, lately driven from Barcelona by Franco's conquering army.

The United States department of justice will defend the suits. Meanwhile the government of Generalissimo Franco is preparing to recover millions of dollars in gold and art treasures, stolen by the Communist government and used to a great extent to propagandize the United States in favor of the Communist cause in war-torn Spain.

The Silver Purchase Act, it will be recalled, was a rider on the 1934 Gold Bill which intended, and succeeded, granting a monopoly on the possession of gold in the United States to the Federal Reserve system.

Wheeler Lashes F.C.C. Politics

THE FEDERAL Communications Commission, responsible for regulation of radio in the public interest, is scheduled for a trip through the Congressional mill in an effort to iron out its political nature, its approval of owner-censorship, and its inefficiency.



SENATOR BURTON K. WHEELER

President Roosevelt originally suggested a revamping of the F.C.C. Senator Burton K. Wheeler, chairman of the Interstate Commerce Commission, approved the idea and named specific changes desired in the present system.

The system, as constituted, permits broadcasters to refuse the air to such men as Father Coughlin, Representative Martin Dies, and others whose words bring cash-register shudders to certain station owners.

Senator Wheeler flayed the F.C.C. for granting high power licenses to favored corporations; for permitting newspapers to operate radio stations, and for permitting the establishment of monopolistic controls.

Behind the Scenes Is Our Mr. William Bullitt

BEHIND THE DISTRESS engendered by President Roosevelt's working military alliance with France is the story of William C. Bullitt, ambassador to the French capital.

Bullitt last September, speaking in France, pledged this nation to France's defense—an act that goes contrary to our tradition of more than 160 years: "No foreign entanglements."

President Roosevelt heretofore has limited our sphere of defense to the Western hemisphere, as outlined in the Monroe Doctrine. Through Bullitt's influence, however, and by the President's reported admission our "frontier" now lies "in France.

Danger of this position is most apparent When the President's alleged declaration was sparked abroad on press service wires, spokesmen for the dictator nations, aroused by these words of aggression, retaliated in kind.

Mussolini declared that if America's frontier lay in France, Italy's frontier lay in the Panama Canal. The Nazi press in Germany enunciated the position of Germany under this situation, saying that if America moved forward to the Rhine, Germany would consider her "frontier" as lying there.

The five months since the Bullitt speech in France, have seen the war flares lighted abroad. If a conflagration breaks out, Mr. Roosevelt and his clique intend that we shall be in it, although the sentiment of this nation, logically, is overwhelmingly in favor of peace through isolation.

Reorganization Bill Rises Anew

"DICTATORSHIP"—the word that ecohed around the nation last spring and caused President Roosevelt to get out of bed at 2:00 a.m. to defend his infamous reorganization bill—again is being whispered in Washington.

Although the Senate killed the reorganization bill last spring, and an inspired House committed it to the rules committee tomb after a bitter struggle, the iniquitous measure has been resurrected!

The House, by a voice vote, passed a resolution introduced by New Deal Representative John Cochran of Missouri establishing a committee to draft a new reorganization statute. This committee, it is indicated, will spawn a measure periously similar to that which gave rise to the cry of "dictatorship" last spring.

PRODUCED BY UNZ.ORG
ELECTRONIC REPRODUCTION PROHIBITED

To Save America . . .

READERS OF SOCIAL JUSTICE, intelligent and informed, have long been aware that there are two Englands: One is the people's England, represented by the policies of Prime Minister Chamberlain. This England is willing to make political deals with other powers for the common peace of Europe.

The other England is that of the aristocrats of international finance, ably represented by Anthony Eden and Leslie Hore-Belisha. Their program is one of war and destruction.

Intelligent Americans have no difficulty in distinguishing the two Englands. Almost every dispatch we read nowadays gives evidence of the division; and one may watch the playing for advantage between the people of England and the aristocratic internationalists.

There Are Also Two Americas!

There are also two Americas. But our people have not yet sufficient experience to recognize them.

There is, for example, the United States of President Roosevelt, which has undertaken private military negotiations with France, and confidential trade commitments with Anthony Eden's England.

Then there is the United States of American Christians which campaigns for a revival of trade and industry at home and is not concerned with intrigues for destruction and war.

The American people have learned with a shock that there really are two Americas, just as there are two Englands. They can hardly believe it. But the daily battles in the Senate over the Administration's policy of secret diplomacy are devastatingly revealing.

Says Dictators Get Things Done

Dictators, said President Roosevelt in his opening address to Congress, "get things done". He warned Congress that if democracies cannot match the dictatorships in efficiency for the welfare of the people, the people eventually will turn from democracy.

That they would turn to a New Deal dictatorship, however, is decidedly questionable.

Why are dictators strong?

Why are they so popular with their supposedly "oppressed" peoples?

The answer, obvious on a moment's consideration, is that in each case the dictator has made the people's welfare his first concern. Success in bringing their own people out of despair and depression to prosperity and contentment has made both Hitler and Mussolini the popular idols of their grateful peoples. No amount of carping comment from the "democracies" and no degree of name-calling by the Communists will turn the Italian and German peoples from allegiance to their deliverers.

The dictators are without gold. Their respective countries were overrun with Communism and depleted by war. The clutch of international money powers was about the throats of their supine nations. In this emergency the "dictators" took over.

Without gold still, they have built nations without unemployment and without poverty. They have, furthermore, unseated the internationalists' money system and set up an internal currency which frees them from outside exploitation.

Dictators Work To Keep Peace

Oddly enough every "dictator," concerned first with preserving this new order which has been worked out for his nation, is working to prevent either its upset by interfering internationalists or their attempts to lure these nations into war. Thus, the "dictators"—from Munich to Rome—are making every effort to maintain international accord and preserve peace.

From the "democracies," on the other hand — particularly from Mr. Roosevelt's America—the world hears continual talk of war. The President has a "Dorothy Thompson" complex. Fear of what "those terrible dictators" plan to do is exaggerated by the controlled leftist press into a bugaboo to justify a huge spending program.

Thus, in the face of every gesture toward peace and accord on the part of the "dictators," democracies secretly are arming against the created scare. These secret military dealings and "unneutral" loans will do more to create the war crisis that the democracies profess to fear than any action by any dictator.

Our Frontier In France?

The United States of Mr. Roosevelt says that our frontier is in France.

The United States of the American people says it is in the depression here at home.

Hunting up a war somewhere to get into, in order to justify spending that will create the fiction of war prosperity—financed by bond borrowing, on top of our already tottering debt—will neither cure the depression or save democracy in America!

Pittsburgh and Southern Ohio Plan Shrine Tour

ANOTHER summer of special excursion tours and pilgrimages to the Shrine of the Little Flower, in Royal Oak, Michigan, is in store for friends of Father Coughlin.

The people of Pittsburgh have selected July 23 as their day at the Shrine and the New York Central Railroad will run a special excursion to Royal Oak on that day.

On the same day, Father Coughlin's friends in Southern Ohio and Cincinnati will come to Royal Oak in a special train over the Baltimore & Ohio railroad.

Now is the time to arrange your summer holidays so you can be at the Shrine on your own dedication days. Arrangements for date reservations and transportation details are to be had as formerly through communication with Father Cyril Keating at the Shrine of the Little Flower, Royal Oak, Michigan.

HIGHLIGHTS OF THE BROADCAST

Sunday, February 5, 1939

INFORMED persons knew that diplomatic traditions had cracked up with the Douglas bomber . . . The entire nation calls for clarification, for, on one point Christian Americans are in accord: We refuse to be regimented into any European or Asiatic war as a result of following a foreign policy which breeds war!

Behind the airplane incident; behind Paul Chemidlin, there is a complex story which deals with gold, with imperialism, with deceit, with intrigue, with Russia, with hate, with garrulous ambassadors and with outraged democracy.

The ordinary citizen has neither time nor means at his disposal to correlate these things. If he suspects that a secret alliance exists between the so-called democracies of the world —America, France, Great Britain and Russia — is he sure that they are democracies?

Is he informed that the so-called totalitarian states against which this alliance has been established have rebelled against the dictators of money, the controllers of gold?

The $2½-billion Stabilization Fund was not intended to stabilize American industry. It was not designed to stabilize the wages of American labor. It was not conceived to stabilize the property of the poor Englishman or Frenchman . . . On the contrary, it was allocated — $2-billion of it — to stabilize the English pound and the French franc created or controlled by the international bankers . . . Like our foreign policy, the operations of our Stabilization fund is a guarded secret.

England and France and the United States control four-fifths of all the commercial gold in the world. In turn, the internationalists within these nations manipulate the gold and manipulate the activities of civilization.

It is time for the American people to appreciate the truth of this statement. France is not a democracy. It is an empire, the majority of whose subjects have no voice or vote whatsoever in determining the destinies of the Parisian government . . . The population of France is estimated at 41,905,968. The population of the French colonies, whose people have no vote, reaches the grand total of 102,101,779. . . . Is this democracy?

Nor is Great Britain a democracy in the strict sense of the word. It is an empire, the population of whose imperial units, in many instances, have little voice or influence in determining or executing the policy of this far-flung domination. The British Isles occupying less than 100,000 square miles, with a population of fewer than 50-million persons, determine the imperial destinies of an empire of some 13-million square miles and 490-million population! Is this democracy?

Both the Union Jack of England, and the Tri-Color of France, are unfurled over far more millions of subjects than is the flag of the United States.

These empires are well able to take care of themselves. The hundreds of millions in British India and French Indo-China are no concern of ours. If they are loyal subjects to the British Crown and to the French Imperial Republic, they are numerous enough to fight the battles of Britain and France against the totalitarian states which threaten them.

Sooner or later, the uninformed and misinformed laboring class and agricultural class of this country, so long deceived by Communistic propaganda, will begin to recognize that the false front of democracy shields the hidden form of financial imperialism. Over a period of four years or more, I have been endeavoring to make plain that point. I was unsuccessful. However, Paul Chemidlin and the cracked-up airplane outside Hollywood succeeded where I failed.

Perhaps the American public will begin to understand why Senator Lodge of Massachusetts is demanding an investigation of the secret Stabilization Fund and an accounting from the financial dictator of the nation, Mr. Henry Morgenthau, Jr., who operates it for the benefit of the British and French international bankers who reap the rewards of imperialism.

Perhaps our American people will begin to sound the purposes behind Mr. Roosevelt's request made to Congress on January 19, to extend the life of the Stabilization Fund until the end of his term in office.

The complete text of Father Coughlin's broadcast, entitled "Foreign Relations — in Three Acts," may be had by writing directly to Father Coughlin, Royal Oak, Michigan.

Paris Paper Scores Blum's Silence on Spain's Martyrs

"LE MATIN," one of the leading dailies of Paris, has published a remarkable article on a speech delivered by Leon Blum, a Jew and head of the Socialist party in France, in which the former Premier denounced the persecution of Jews in certain European countries.

The newspaper retorts that M. Blum's government was inactive and silent when Christians were being martyred in Spain.

"Le Matin" says "there are neighboring countries where Christians have just been more than persecuted: they have been martyred. Notably there is Red Spain.

"From the brief that a Prince of the Church, Cardinal Goma y Tomas, Primate of Spain, has in his possession, it is known that in 1936 and 1937—but yesterday—17 Bishops and over 6,000 priests were put to death by the Reds after having been odiously tortured.

"The Cardinal has in his possession the list of names as well as the depositions of witnesses. Frightful lists and statements that make one shudder with horror!

"When Christianity and Christians were being butchered in that country, Blum's regime in France did not lift a finger or turn an ear. And his Minister of the Interior authorized collections in the streets of Paris—not for the victims, but for their butchers.

"It is true that for 20 centuries Christians, whose God is represented as extended on a cross, have had time to learn what martyrdom is. They have learned altruism also. When the tempest strikes down their churches and their priests, they have not always known the civilized universe to come to their aid; but when it strikes other races and other religions, they have not been known to remain with mouths closed and arms folded."

PRODUCED BY UNZ.ORG
ELECTRONIC REPRODUCTION PROHIBITED

Abolition of Debt-Bonds Is Story Behind Removal of Dr. Schacht

THE UNTOLD STORY behind the replacement of Dr. Hjalmar Schacht, as president of the German Reischbank, by Walther Funk has come to light. It discloses that Dr. Schacht was identified with the internationalists' tradition of borrowing through interest-bearing bonds while Herr Funk favors a non-interest credit structure.

Otto D. Tolischus, writing in the *New York Times* from Berlin, reveals the story—one of the most important of the year. He says:

"Dr. Schacht was ousted because he believed that Germany had reached the limit in debt-making and currency expansion, that any further expansion spelled danger to the economic system, for which he still considered himself responsible, and that the government would have to curtail its ambitions and confine itself to the nation's means. . . .

"No authoritative explanation of the new financial policy is available so far, but judging from hints in the highest quarters, the policy is likely to proceed about as follows: (Note No. 3 particularly.)

"1. Expand the currency circulation only for current exchange demands and not for special purposes.

"2. Open the capital market for private industry and make private industry finance many tasks hitherto financed by the State, either directly or by prices on public orders, which have enabled industry to finance the expansion of new Four-Year Plan factories out of accumulated profits and reserves.

"3. *Create a non-interest bearing credit instrument* with which the State, now having to share the capital market with private enterprise, will finance its own further orders in anticipation of increasing tax receipts from the resulting expansion of production.

"In one respect, therefore, Herr Funk presumably will continue 'prefinancing' the State's orders as did Dr. Schacht, but whereas Dr. Schacht did it with bills, loans, delivery certificates and other credit instruments, *all of which cost between 4½ and 5 per cent interest per year, Herr Funk proposes to do it with non-interest-eating instruments.*

"How that is to be done is his secret, but the mere mention of interest-free credit instruments inevitably recalls the plan of Gottfried Feder, which at one time fascinated Chancellor Hitler, but which Dr. Schacht vetoed.

"*This plan called for the issue of non-interest-bearing Treasury certificates to finance productive public works,* which after their completion would redeem the certificates with their own products."

What this really amounts to is that the world-renouned Dr. Schacht was for preserving the privilege of the interest-racket, while Dr. Funk is for issuing debt-free non-interest-bearing Treasury notes.

* * *

Gottfried Feder was not the originator of this plan.

It was in use by the American colonists and accounted for their phenomenal growth up to 1765. Then the international private bankers realizing that honest money would make America prosperous beyond dreams, passed the Stamp Act in England, which demanded payment in hard silver. This was the real cause of the Declaration of Independence. There was only a limited supply of silver. The English private banks refused the debt-free Treasury "continentals." Trade stopped and hard times prevailed.

It was advocated by Benjamin Franklin when he had inserted in the Constitution that "Congress has the power to coin and regulate the value of money," against the wiles of Alexander Hamilton and the private bankers of the First Bank of the United States.

It was used successfully in the little English Channel Island of Guernsey which financed itself out of a depression, by the circulation of interest-free Treasury notes. When this legitimate increase in the circulation of money turned the Island's depression into prosperity, the rapacious bankers in 1829 entered Guernsey's paradise—and they're still there.

* * *

In the 1830's Andrew Jackson advocated the same type of debt-free-non-interest-bearing Treasury notes in his famous battle with the privately owned Second Bank of the United States and Nicholas Biddle.

In 1862, Abraham Lincoln issued $150-million in debt-free-non-interest-bearing Treasury notes. The bankers were so mad that they prevailed upon the Congress by bribery and treachery to have a subsequent $300-million nullified by refusing to make them legal tender for the payment of import duties and taxes. Of course we know that this was simply a subterfuge, that the money which Congress issues and can issue must be full legal tender for the payment of all debts, including taxes and import duties. Later Supreme Court decisions of the United States have fully confirmed this fact. And the ironical part of it is that approximately $346-millions of this type of money is still in use! The bills bear no interest at the point of origin. Figure out for yourself how much interest, computed annually, would have been paid to the bankers on this $346-million at 3 per cent since 1862. Abraham Lincoln paid with his life for this view of his on money.

This honest type of money was advocated by James Garfield in 1880 and again by William Jennings Bryan in 1896 and by Congressman Lindbergh, the father of the famous American flyer, when the private bankers were putting over the inquitious Federal Reserve System upon the American people in 1913.

This type of money has been advocated by Father Coughlin who points out that our money system of interest-bearing bonds is pure usury—a sin against the commandment "Thou shalt not steal."

Will the new Germany attain the world-wide prosperity possible with an honest-debt-free-non-interest-bearing money system without having it sabotaged by the private international bankers? If they can, more power to them, and Dr. Funk and other honest people who refuse to pay a usury toll to a small group of racialists who trust in Gold, war bonds and shell-holes, and not in God, in work and productive wealth.

Chinese Rumor Japanese Peace

THE POSSIBILITIES of an early peace seem to be more concrete with numerous reports of negotiations in the Far Eastern theatre of war between Japan and China.

The first was from Madam Chiang Kai Shek, wife of the Generalissimo. She said:

"We are not seeking third-power mediation. We want an honorable peace, not one with cringing fear. If the powers can facilitate this, we will accept gladly."

Another report states that General Wu Pei Fu, once a powerful Chinese military leader, is already in negotiation with Japanese military headquarters.

The biggest obstacle to peace seems to be China's demanding guarantees of territorial integrity, administrative sovereignty and equal treatment.

In the meantime, General Chiang Kai Shek's son, who studied Communism under the Moscow Commissars for five years, is reported organizing a huge army in the interior of China, reinforced with Russian military men and supplies.

It was this fact that caused Tatsuo Kawai, of the Japanese Foreign Office, to issue the recent statement to the effect that "if Russia intervenes in the Far East more than she has already, Japan will destroy her."

Czecho-Slovakia Polls Its Losses

FOREIGN Minister Frantisek Chvalkovsky of Czecho-Slovakia recently visited Berlin and talked with Foreign Minister Joachim von Ribbentrop.

In all probability, the visit stressed the internal situation of that country in contrast to its foreign policy as outlined by the Rome-Berlin axis.

The economic losses suffered by Czecho-Slovakia still leave it an open question as to just how far the country will succeed in coping with its internal problems without outside aid.

Through the loss of Sudetenland, an important source of raw materials and a number of industries—textiles, porcelain, glass, chemicals—valuable for exports, has been surrendered. Added to this internal loss, was the important wheatlands and vineyards of Slovakia and Ruthenia, ceded to Hungary.

This disorganization of the whole transport system of the country through the loss of so much territory will necessitate the building of new railway lines and new arterial roads across the Republic. But in order to put idle men to work, capital must also be utilized.

The English loan of $50-million is a welcome assistance, but will not be sufficient to set the country on its feet again. Perhaps Germany will aid Czecho-Slovakia financially.

Chamberlain In Reply to His Critics

ADDRESSING a convention of the Birmingham Jewelers' Association, a notable occasion in England, Prime Minister Neville Chamberlain had the opportunity of analyzing the criticism levelled at him because he did not plunge into war to satisfy a selfish few.

He said: "A great deal of criticism has been directed against the Munich Agreement and against the action I took in attempting by personal contact to obtain a peaceful solution of a problem which very nearly involved the world in a catastrophe of the first magnitude.

"The criticism has come from various quarters which are perhaps only unanimous in one respect, namely, that they take a less favorable view of the actions of his Majesty's present ministers. But there is one feature common to all critics. None of them has the responsibility that lies upon me, and none of them has that full knowledge of all the circumstances that is only open to his Majesty's government.

"Quite recently, as you know, the Foreign Secretary and I paid a visit to Rome and for that too we have been criticized by those who seem determined to obstruct and resist every attempt to improve international relations.

"There are some who are so blinded by prejudice and partisanship that they do not scruple to besmirch and belittle the representatives of this country.

"They declared before the visit that we were going to Rome to surrender British interests, that we were going to grant belligerent rights to General Franco, that we were going to betray our friends and allies in France. And when we came back without having done any of these things, they changed their complaint and said it was not worth while to have made the visit at all because nothing had come of it.

"It is not true that nothing came out of it. We did not go to Rome to make bargains but to get to know Italian statesmen better, to ascertain by personal discussion what was their point of view and to make sure that they understood ourselves.

"We accomplished all that, and although there was complete frankness of speech on both sides, although we did not convert or attempt to convert one another to our point of view on any subject on which we might differ, yet I can say we came away better friends than we were when we went there.

"War today is so terrible in its effects on those who take part in it, no matter what the ultimate outcome may be; it brings so much loss and suffering even to those who stand aside and watch the combat from the ring, that it ought never be allowed to begin unless every practicable and honorable step has been taken to prevent it."

Surely, when the British Prime Minister realizes the horror of war and appreciates that it is the people who pay, not those who sit back and profiteer, his efforts should be accorded at least a just treatment by the press.

The very fact they wish failure for men like Chamberlain and Mussolini betrays the critics' desire for war.

PRODUCED BY UNZ.ORG
ELECTRONIC REPRODUCTION PROHIBITED

Martin Fight Against 'Red' Control of Auto Workers Union Reaches Legislature

LAST WEEK'S ROUND in the battle for control of the United Automobile Workers' Union ended a draw as President-Deposed Homer Martin continued to lambaste the "Red faction" who suspended him from office.

Martin and his C.I.O. opponents appeared before a state legislative committee at Lansing, Michigan, and stated their views of the internal struggle in the U.A.W. which threatens to wreck the union.

"The union is engaged in a civil war to determine whether Communists are to control the U.A.W. or whether it will continue a free trade union," Martin told the committee.

He said he believed additional legislation would have little effect in promoting peace in industrial disputes.

Although Acting President R. J. Thomas, nominal leader of Anti-Martin forces, denied rumors of conciliation, Martin said Washington C.I.O. headquarters was considering a "peace plan" to offer him.

Meanwhile court action in Detroit was deferred on two issues involving control of the union. Circuit Judge DeWitt H. Merriam took under advice the case in which each faction claims possession of records and office equipment of Plymouth Local No. 51, taken forcibly by Martin. Judge Adolph F. Marschner continued until February 13 arguments on a motion by the C.I.O. faction to restrain Martin and his cohorts from using the U.A.W. office, functioning as officers of the union or publishing the official U.A.W. newspaper.

Some significance was attached to the appearance of Edward N. Barnard, veteran A.F.L. attorney, as counsel for Martin. It has been reported Martin eventually will rejoin the A.F.L., taking with him more than half the U.A.W.'s 375,000 members whom he declares have supported him in his fight against Communist domination of the union.

His opponents, utilizing every possible avenue of aid, sought assistance from the National Labor Relations Board in an unusual action. They filed a petition with the Board charging Martin and four members of his executive board with unfair labor practices in violation of the Wagner Act. They alleged the defendants had conspired with the Ford Motor Company to destroy the U.A.W.

In New York Anti-Martinite Fred C. Peiper, acting under a writ of replevin, seized records and furniture of the eastern regional office of the U.A.W. Peiper, southern regional director, said the international executive board had appointed him successor to Frank Tucci, prior regional head of the New York office, after the board had suspended Tucci along with Martin.

Meanwhile locals throughout the nation passed resolutions of support for one faction or the other. Both sides claimed a majority. Concrete evidence of strength, however, will present itself at the respective national conventions next month. Martin's group will meet at Detroit March 4 and his opponents' at Cleveland March 27.

American Federation of Labor Drives for Change in Labor Act

THE TASK OF MOBILIZING support for amendment of the Wagner Labor Relations Act and strategy designed to establish peace and unity on the labor front occupied the attention of President William Green as the American Federation of Labor executive committee held its annual winter meeting at Miami.

Also expected to be discussed was a campaign to reorganize the United Textile Workers Union, expelled when it deserted to the C.I.O.

Effect of the A.F.L.'s proposed 16 amendments, introduced in the Senate by Senator David I. Walsh of Massachusetts, would be to weaken the C.I.O. to such an extent that John L. Lewis might see the writing on the wall and return to the fold from which he strayed. At least that is the hope of A.F.L. leaders.

It is obvious the amendments, if enacted, would cripple the C.I.O. tremendously. Most destructive provision, from C.I.O. standpoint, is that which provides for bargaining recognition to craft unions.

A.F.L. spokesmen point confidently to another "death blow" in the bill—one which would wreck completely Lewis' mass, nation-wide bargaining. The provision prohibits a bargaining unit to embrace "employes of more than one employer."

A definite play for employer support amendment is seen in the section embodying two distinct benefits to employers, both of which are necessary if the principle of equal rights and responsibilities between capital and labor is to be recognized. These provisions would permit an employer to file a petition for an election among employes and would authorize the labor board to investigate the petition and order an election; an employer also would be allowed to discuss with employes his opinions on unionization, providing he refrained from acts of discrimination or threats. The Wagner Law as it stands forbids these rights.

The question of swinging Homer Martin's anti-Communist faction of United Automobile Workers Union into the A.F.L. was of great moment to conference delegates. What would be done in this regard was not known. Martin originally was affiliated with the A.F.L. but later joined the C.I.O. which he recently renounced.

Observers predicted some effort would be made to conciliate the C.I.O. but were vague concerning what procedure would be followed. President Green said he had received no definite friendly overtures from John L. Lewis, but he implied the C.I.O. was "more receptive" toward unity and peace negotiations than heretofore.

Executives Approve Union Organization

MORE BUSINESS EXECUTIVES than factory laborers believe it is unwise to oppose unionization in industry, *Fortune Magazine* reports after a survey of public opinion.

Because of the form of the question it is difficult to determine whether executives, by their answer, recognize the needs and advantages of labor unionization or whether they merely believe it futile to oppose organization.

The question was "Do you think it is wise or foolish for the managements of businesses to try to keep unions from organizing in their plants?"

Replies showed that 68.4 per cent of executives and 61.1 per cent of laborers thought it "foolish," while 21.9 per cent of executives and 25.3 per cent laborers thought it "wise." Undecided were 9.7 per cent of executives and 13.6 per cent of employes.

Workers Buy Stock; Control Company

OFFICIALS OF BROOKLYN'S Pilgrim Laundry Company long ago found a novel solution to the labor problem. They allowed employes to buy controlling interest in the company.

At a stockholders' meeting recently 500 workers, 400 of them women, gathered to hear the annual financial report of Treasurer Charles F. Wark, one-time half owner of the plant.

President James E. Dann told them they had purchased so much stock in the last eight years that they now held 75 per cent interest in the firm.

Appreciative of the officials' benevolence and anxious to keep their $1,300,000 property operating with profit to all, the workers unanimously elected Dann president and Wark treasurer.

For many years Dann and his associates have set an example of ideal employer-employe relationship. It is based on the simple Christian principle of solicitude for the welfare of others. And it worked. Employes reciprocated.

Advantages they enjoy include a minimum of two weeks' annual vacation with pay, eight weeks' sick benefit annually and a guaranteed minimum weekly wage higher than the union scale. No one was discharged during the depression.

Ford Lawyers Scorch Labor Board Ruling

FIRES OF PROTEST which have engulfed the National Labor Relations Board for many months flared anew last week after attorneys for Ford Motor Company filed a scorching denunciation of the Board's prejudiced, unfair procedure.

The charges were made at Washington in a motion that the Board drop action against the company on grounds that the Detroit hearing in July, 1937, before Board Trial Examiner John T. Lindsay was so full of bias, error and prejudice that the proceedings were a travesty of justice.

Lindsay's intermediate report found the company guilty of unfair labor practices and ordered Ford to reinstate 29 men allegedly discharged for union activity. The Board in December, 1937, issued an order in support of Lindsay's report. Later it withdrew its order to permit reconsideration and to correct "procedural defects," then last fortnight issued another order substantially the same as the original.

The Ford motion to drop all proceedings accused Examiner Lindsay of "abusive and partisan conduct" toward Ford attorneys, permitting Board attorneys to castigate witnesses favorable to the company, and expressing disbelief and ridicule of such witnesses himself.

Denying the Board finding that hired Ford thugs beat union organizers in the May, 1937, battle at Ford's River Rouge plant, attorneys asserted the fight was between bona fide rank and file employes and U.A.W. agitators who sought to promote violence in accordance with "the Russian Soviet method of starting riots."

The motion set forth also that the current Board findings were faulty because they were based on the unfair Detroit hearing rather than new proceedings which should have been instituted when the Board withdrew its 1937 order and promised a complete reconsideration.

In another action Ford attorneys filed a brief in support of exceptions to a Chicago Trial Examiner's report. That report found the company guilty of interfering with unionization and collective bargaining among employes of Ford's Chicago plant.

Senate Committee Requests Ban on Strike Breakers

AS AN IMPORTANT STEP toward reducing strike violence the proposal of the Senate Civil Liberties Committee to outlaw use of professional strike-breakers deserves whole-hearted support.

After a two-year investigation the Committee reported that employment of professional "thugs" as "finks" and strike-breakers was prevalent throughout the country and was responsible for much terrorism and violence for which strikers are blamed.

The Committee asserted strike-breaking agencies do such a lucrative business that they "try to make strikes last as long as possible and to maintain a tense situation so that more guards or strike-breakers will be required."

Accused of conducting the business were four detective agencies—Pinkerton's, National Corporation Service, Railway Audit and Inspection Company and W. J. Burns. The National Metal Trades Association and Associated Industries of Cleveland, employer associations, were charged with soliciting business as strike-guards. More than 100 plants were said to have accepted services of the agencies.

The Committee recommended that Congress enact adequate legislation forbidding employers to engage mercenary strike-breakers whose real objective is to foment disorders, enrich themselves and discredit legitimate strikers.

Present federal law prohibiting interstate transportation of strike-breakers has been ineffective, said the Committee, because agencies have established separate units in each state, thus avoiding the necessity of inter-state transportation.

PRODUCED BY UNZ.ORG
ELECTRONIC REPRODUCTION PROHIBITED

"She's Gentle and Kind to Children, Sam"

—(Washington Post)

Aha, 'We Told You So'— 'Atrocities' In Spain!

WE ARE ALL FAMILIAR with the blood-curdling "atrocities" attributed to General Franco and his Nationalist troops in Spain, by the American League for Peace and Democracy.

We have been told—by the Peace and Democracy mob, by the Communist press, and by leftists on the air—that from the lowliest soldier to the monstrous brute Franco himself, every Nationalist trooper, (1) cuts off babies' fingers and stuffs them into their eyes; (2) beats aged mothers with their own crutches; (3) slices out the tongues of priests and nails them to church doors, and, (4) distributes autographed human ears as souvenirs of battle among his followers.

Of course we take the Dorothy Thompsons, the Heywood Brouns, the Pearsons and Allens, and the bushy-whiskered Bolsheviks who work for the Communist *Daily Worker* and the Communist *Midwest Record,* with several shakersfull of salt. But the impression may have lingered that this Franco was a combination of Iroquois Indian, Bela Kun, Cannibal, Nero and Diocletian.

BRUTES DISTRIBUTE FOOD!

Thus the following report of "atrocities" committed by Franco and his troops upon entering Barcelona is noteworthy.

ZARAGOZA, SPAIN, JAN. 26—(AP)—Hundreds of Generalissimo Francisco Franco's women "shock troops," many of them daughters of Spanish grandees, awaited orders today to enter Barcelona and *set up soup kitchens and bread lines.*

They knew in advance exact addresses where they were to *set up food stations after the fall of Barcelona* and precisely how much food to take with them.

These and other arrangements for the *civil reorganization* of the former capital of government Spain *were worked out down to the last detail.*

Huge food stores have been collected for months and *earmarked for Barcelona.* Even last fall shop owners were complaining they could get no canned goods *because they were being saved "for Barcelona."*

The women were instructed that when they entered the Catalonian capital, *they were to hand out packages containing bread, chocolate and canned meat or fish.*

Meanwhile Auxilio Social, the regular social aid organization prepared *to open free restaurants.*

The insurgents planned for mass to be said early in the occupation — perhaps in the open air . . .

"Oh, death, where is thy sting?"

The Eminent Mr. Wharton And the Amazing Thrill Story; 'Lies Pour Out of Royal Oak'

"DYNAMIC AMERICA," a magazine with a publishing tradition about as old as yesterday's haircut, and a circulation so weak it makes a case of anemia look like a raging torrent, makes a bid for everlasting fame with a "staff prepared" attack on Father Coughlin.

In an article that might well have been clipped from the *Communist Daily Worker,* so closely does it follow the Communist smear tactic, one Roy Wharton dips into the mud barrel, comes up with a lot of old slime, and gleefully flings it all about him in the rash expectation it will cause the world to topple.

"Lies pour out of Royal Oak," is the intriguing title of this amazing opus, calculated to be as devastating as a sewer blast. The nature of the eminent Mr. Wharton's attack makes this analogy particularly appropriate.

"Is Father Coughlin a Nazi-Fascist," the article asks, and Mr. Wharton concludes that, by all means, he most certainly is! "The fact is that no decent, God-fearing, self-respecting Jew or Gentile would want to be found dead in the same room with the priest," says Mr. Wharton.

And he wants you to have no lingering doubts that this statement might be even slightly exaggerated. He adds: "This may seem cruel, but it is nevertheless true."

'PROOF, PROOF'

Mr. Wharton goes whole hog in defending the atheistic promulgators of the Russian Red revolution, and backs up his assertions with this air-tight statement of proof: "Even a child understands that international bankers and Communists do not work together." Having proven this matter to the entire satisfaction of the entire world, Mr. Wharton then proceeds to more weighty matters. The same sort of proof is adduced to each of his dogmatic statements. All those who think in any wise contrary are guilty of "stupid lies."

But Mr. Wharton's dodging, twisting, pivoting broken-field literary style catches up with itself when he says: "The American tradition of fair play demands that no accusations of an unfair nature be made against anyone—even a hated opponent—unless such charges can be genuinely substantiated by unquestioned proof." Mr. Wharton's proof, however, is honored in its absence.

The resourceful Mr. Wharton, whom a footnote on the smear page tells us "is a member of the staff of *Dynamic America,*" has a merry time tearing apart the pages of SOCIAL JUSTICE magazine, and in hauling the veil from its writers whom he exposes, unquestionably, as a collection of idots, knaves, liars and boobs.

USE RUSTED HOWITZERS

"Dynamic America" cannot be credited with any genius of research in its mud bath. All its stuff is so old it reeks. It collects the utterances of that sad revolutionary Mr. Kerensky, the clippings from the horrible Fascist press, the editorial smear attempted by a dozen of the nation's dailies, the gleanings from the Jewish press and rolls them into its own spitball. Under Mr. Wharton's name, it limps forth to battle with plugged and rusted howitzers.

The explosive fire of Mr. Wharton's blast dribbles off to a sinister sizzle in the latter pages of his epic. He whispers darkly of the broadcast contributions received at the Shrine of the Little Flower and confides most secretively, with one hand to his mouth, that the whole business is a gigantic money racket! "The money mad priest of Royal Oak," he says, left the field of monetary reform because it didn't bring in the dollars! The "microphone Midas" found that religion is a "big business" and played it as such.

'WISH THINKERS' DON'T THINK

The United States is full of "Whartons." It has only one Father Coughlin. Like all the other "Whartons," our friend Roy attempts to hang the Nazi swastika around the Radio Priest's neck. Although in each discourse in which he had occasion to mention the Nazi system or the Jewish question Father Coughlin made a crystal clear distinction as to just where his sympathies reposed, this distinction is not clear enough for Mr. Wharton and his kind, whose wish-thinking carries them over the chasm.

Wharton and *"Dynamic America"* conclude that because the Radio Priest has ventured to do battle against Communism, his money reform work is all hogwash. And we can't blame them, for this publication finds many aspects of Communism particularly attractive, as a glance at the subject matter in its editorial contents reveals.

The old philosopher Kant had a "dynamic" theory that held two forces, attraction and repulsion, controlled matter. The "dynamism" in *"Dynamic America"* branches off from this theory, however. It is all repulsion.

"COMMIE" STRIP . . . . . *Funny Doings of Funny Reds*

THE "BEEZIES," those members of the Soviet Russia Godless Association, or "Bezbojnik," now are engaged on a program to drive the Christian missionaries out of the mission lands.

* * *

They will undertake to train propagandists in Moscow to visit the mission lands and teach the pagans the joys of life without God. They aim first of all to strike at the Catholic church, recognized as the greatest enemy of Bolshevism in the world.

Earl Browder, the mustachioed leader of the Communist political gang, appeared in Cleveland recently. He addressed the greatest mob of Communists ever assembled in a Red rally in Ohio—7,500—and spoke the usual gracious generalities on democracy.

* * *

The whole meeting hall cauldron was a mess of contradiction. As the feverish Browder spoke for democracy, his fester-minded followers gave the huzzah for Stalinism. And a banner on the wall proclaimed: "Through Democracy to Socialism."

* * *

And that banner gives the tip off on the whole lying, stinking, devilish Communist plot for the United States; a plot that envisions the enslavement of the poor worker by his own helplessness. "Through Democracy to Socialism"—exactly. A one-way trip, with the chuckle-headed, free-loving, thieving, stealing, lying God-hating Reds handling the throttle.

Heywood Broun sobbed all through a recent outpouring of his column that the "Anti-Semites" engaged in picketing New York's radio station WMCA, which cut Father Coughlin from the air, ganged up on him when he made a heckle expedition to the picket line surrounding the station recently. Broun, who has placed his ponderous bulk into dozens of picket lines, then expressed his opinion that the WMCA line should not be permitted. "It has brought New York closer to Berlin," he said.

PRODUCED BY UNZ.ORG
ELECTRONIC REPRODUCTION PROHIBITED

Extra Cash

FOR CONTEST ACHIEVEMENT IN FEBRUARY

New Starters Have Equal Opportunity as Reports Prior to February 1, Do Not Count on These Extra Cash Prizes

$100—February 1 to February 18

One Hundred Dollars ($100) in three prizes of $50, $30 and $20, will go to the candidates for whom there is turned in the greatest amounts of NEW SUBSCRIPTION MONEY between February 1 and Saturday, February 18, 12 P.M. Every cent turned in during the first 18 days of February counts on the next offer as well, and all the votes of course count on the final grand prizes. And besides 15% cash commission on new subscriptions will be allowed, as per Rule No. 11.

$175—February 1 to February 28

One Hundred Seventy-Five Dollars ($175) will constitute the greatest extra prize offer and will close with the end of the Opening Period and its 40,000 extra vote bonus on each new subscription of one year or longer. Three fine extra prizes of $100, $50 and $25 will go to the candidates for whom there is turned in the greatest amounts of new subscription money between and including February 1 and the end of the big vote period, Tuesday, February 28, 12 P.M.

It would be possible for a real go-getter to win a total of $150 of this extra cash, simply by being first in the business of EACH ONE of these two offers.

The "live wires" in this race—whether already entered or entering hereafter—can win some of this Extra Cash, while building up their vote standings to win the prize cars at the end. They will remember that EACH DOLLAR turned in during this big period counts for several thousand more votes than it will at the wind-up, and will march on the double-quick in this Golden Period, taking things easier at the end. (Copyrighted, 1939)

ANOTHER INSTALLMENT OF CANDIDATES

NOTE.—We regret that, on account of limited space, Social Justice can publish names of candidates only in installments. In the previous issue were entries from Arkansas, California, and Canada. We go down the alphabet of States as far as possible today and continue through in our next.

Please do not be alarmed if your name or recent report is not credited to your State. Business now in the mails or in office routine will be credited in later lists.

Bulletins of the complete list of entries will be mailed frequently to all candidates and to new entries enclosing one or more subscriptions.

CONNECTICUT

ALLEN, Anna, Norwalk	35,100
BARRETT, John, New Haven	5,000
BUTLER, John, Danielson	35,100
CAPEWELL, Mrs. W., Bridgept.	35,100
CORCORAN, G. M., Waterbury	35,100
CUSICK, James, J. Waterbury	59,100
DICKINSON, G. W., Waterbury	35,100
FITZ GERALD, D., New Haven	45,100
JOHNSON, J., Naugatuck	55,100
KUMPITSCH, Dr. P. F., Hartfd.	35,100
LYONS, D. C., S. Norwalk	45,000
MORGAN, J. H., Waterbury	35,100
MURRAY, Mrs. T. R., N. Haven	35,100
PERRY, W. C., New Haven	5,000
WYLIE, Miss A., Sound View	35,100

DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

McKENZIE, Paul J., Wash.	5,000
O'MEARA, Sadie Ellen, Wash.	55,600

DELAWARE

BROWN, H. M., Wilmington	35,100

FLORIDA

FACE, Mrs. E., Mt. Dora	35,100
GARDNER, M., Jacksonville	35,100

ILLINOIS

ALLEN, Mrs. Mary, Chicago	35,100
ARKIN, Mrs. D., Chicago	45,100
ASFOOR, Wm., Chicago	35,100
BALLANTYNE, M., Chicago	65,300
BANAN, Chas. G., Chicago	35,100
BECKER, Miss E., Wilmette	76,700
BLOUIN, Robt., Chicago	45,100
BOEDIGHEIMER, R., Chicago	45,200
BONYAI, Jas., Alton	35,100
CORIAM, M. C., Chicago	45,100
CUMMINGS, Mrs. T., Chicago	35,100
CWENAR, Walter, Cicero	35,100
DE MARCO, A., Chicago	76,700
DENAULT, John L., Mendota	35,100
DUAN, John, Quincy	5,100
ECK, C. J., Chicago	35,100
EHLEN, Mrs. F. B., Chicago	35,100
EIFEL, Geo. L., Chicago	35,100
FAHERTY, Patrick, Chicago	35,100
FEHLEN, Jos. J., Evanston	35,100
FINEGAN, Miss H., Sprinfd.	76,700
FUERST, Miss L., Chicago	55,100
GIBBONS, Frank E., Oak Park	35,100
GILDEA, Mrs. Ellen, Chicago	49,400
GONSKI, Theo., Chicago	55,300
GONWA, Mrs. G. A., Chicago	35,100
HANRAHAN, Thos. J., Chicago	45,100
HARRIS, N. L., E. St. Louis	35,100
HASSEL, Miss C. E., Chicago	35,100
HASTINGS, Mrs. M., Chicago	79,700
HAUSEN, C., Chicago	5,000
HIXON, Mrs. Mary F., Chicago	35,100
HORAN, Peter, Chicago	89,500
HUMPHREYS, L., Villa Pk.	75,300
KERRINS, Rev. J. J., Ashkum	45,100
KOHLMANN, Karl, Chicago	35,100
KROG, Chas. Jos., Oak Park	35,100
KRUE, Leo B., Downers Grove	45,200
LA FLAMME, Mrs. M., Chicago	35,100
LEMRISE, Hubert, Chicago	35,100
LOUGHLIN, Miss M., Chicago	76,700
LYE, Helen, Seneca	35,000
MARRON, Mrs. I., Chicago	45,200
MARSICANO, F., Melrose Park	55,100
McEVOY, Patrick J., Chicago	5,000
McLAUGHLIN, M., Elmwood Pk.	55,100
MESSIG, Mrs. F. J., Breese	5,000
MULLOY, Rev. S. J., Chicago	35,100
O'BRIEN, Wm., Chicago	35,100
O'KEEFE, Pat., Chicago	35,100
O'SHAUGHNESSY, M. J., Chi.	55,100
POWERS, Miss N. E., Chicago	5,000
ROHR, Mrs. R., Milstadt	55,200
ROSNER, Rich., Chicago	5,000
ROSS, Thomas, Chicago	35,100
ROSSMILLER, Marg., Fowler	55,100
RUST, Mrs. Daisy, E. St. Louis	55,100
SAUTER, Miss Theodora, Chicago	35,100
SCHLENGER, C., Chicago	45,200
SCHLOSSER, Leo B., Sprgfld.	65,300
SCHMITZ, P., Morton Grove	35,100
SERR, Mrs. E. Quinn, Chicago	35,100
SUBA, John J., Chicago	35,100
SUPALA, Mrs. M. G., Berwyn	45,200
TAVAY, John, E. Alton	5,000
UFER, Carl, Chicago	6,100
WALLACE, John S., Chicago	5,000
WARZYNSKI, Mr. L. J., Chi.	76,700
WEISS, Miss N. Jean, Chicago	35,100
YOUNG, Lowell, Fairfield	5,000
ZVIRBLIS, Joseph, Chicago	55,600

INDIANA

BERTSCH, Frank, Richmond	35,100
BIEKER, Sr., Henry, Loganspt.	5,000
FRUND, Lucille, Vincennes	35,100
GATES, Jos. H., W. Terre Hte.	5,000
HEERDINK, Aloys, Evansville	65,300
HUMBRECHT, D., Ft. Wayne	35,100
HUMIG, Jos., Connersville	35,100
JEFFERS, John F., Indpls.	5,000
KLEIN, Mrs. Al., Loganspt.	35,100
KNAUTH, Vic. C., Vincennes	35,000
KUBLEY, W. R., Rochester	5,000
LANE, Nora, Whiting	35,100
McKINNON, A. J., Indpls.	55,300
PEQUIGNOT, A. F., Ft. Wayne	75,100
PERONA, Miss Lydia, Blanford	35,100
SCHMITT, Geo. H., Anderson	5,000
SKABLA, Rudolph, Indpls.	35,100
SMITH, Mrs. E., Decatur	55,200
TENTEGGE, M., N. Terre H.	55,700

IOWA

AHLERS, F., New Vienna	76,700
ALLAN, C. E., Newton	35,100
BEADLE, T. J., Dubuque	5,000
BROPHY, Miss H., Newton	35,100
BRUNER, Dr. C. W., Waterloo	35,100
BLAKE, Pat., Dorchester	35,100
CYRIL, Sister Mary, LeMars	76,700
DORWEILER, Jos., W. Bend	55,400
HACKETT, J. F., Sioux City	45,200
HERRMANN, H. W., Davenport	35,100
KRIEGEL, C., Brooklyn	35,100
MEYERS, F. J., Graf	35,100
O'ROURKE, C. E., Sac City	5,000
ROSENTHAL, Ed., Portsmouth	35,100
VERNON, F. G., Des Moines	77,600
VRUBLE, John, Jerome	5,000
WEIHS, George, Harlan	89,500
WILLE, Miss T., Guttenburg	35,100

KANSAS

GOEMANN, H. A., Sharon	55,100
JOCHEMS, Tim, Atchison	5,000
MERCER, C. C., Shawnee	55,200

KENTUCKY

DE GOTT, Vic. E., Louisv.	35,100
FLAHERTY, John J., Louisv.	65,300
JONES, Mrs. L., Bellevue	55,100
HEHMAN, Mrs. B., Ft. Thomas	35,100
KUNKLER, Clar. A., Louisv.	45,200
MARTIN, Wm., Covington	35,100
REKERS, Miss Mary, Covington	35,300
ROY, E. H., Louisville	35,100

MAINE

COTE, Felix R., Gt. Wks.	5,000
MAGOON, Henry Y., Winn.	35,100

MARYLAND

ARLT, Eric, Baltimore	65,100
BOORME, K. M., Baltimore	5,000
JANSSENS, Chas., Baltimore	55,100
MAMOCK, Paul O., Baltimore	55,200
PHILLIPS, Mrs. M., Pikesville	35,100
SMITH, B., Hermanville	35,100
WILSON, J. E., Towson	5,000
YEAGER, Mrs. E., Baltimore	35,100

MASSACHUSETTS

AINSWORTH, Mrs. F., Fall River	35,100
ALOYSIA, Sister Jos., S. Boston	55,100
AREY, T. M., Billerica	5,000
BALLANTINE, B., Dorchester	35,100
BEAULIEN, Ernest, Taunton	35,100
BIRD, Marg. A., Roxbury	55,100
BISSON, Mrs. Al. S., E. Lynn	55,400
BRENNAN, Mich. J., Dorchester	55,200
CALLAHAN, John, N. Andover	5,000
CAPUANO, A., Somerville	5,000
CARIGNAN, E., Lowell	55,400
CHARTIER, Mrs. L., Middleboro	35,100
COOKSON, L. N., Lawrence	77,600
CRONIN, James F., Sprgfld.	35,100
DES JARDINS, Norman, Sprgfld.	80,700
DEVLIN, Anne H., Sprgfld.	35,100
DUCEY, Ellen V., Framingham	5,000
DWYER Ed., Charleston	35,100
FARRELL, Mary E., Roslindale	35,100
FICARRA, F., E. Boston	35,100
FLYNN, Marg., Brookline	35,100
GILLIGAN, Geo. M., Brookline	35,100
JACKSON, Ralph D., Sprgfld.	5,000
KENNEDY, John F., Lynn	89,900
KIRRANE, Mrs. M., Dorchester	69,100
LOFTUS, R. J., Somerville	65,300
LONGFELD, N. T., Cambridge	5,000
MacPHERSON, W. A., Sprgfld.	76,700
MAYHEW, John E., Worcester	35,100
MAYNARD, Mrs. G. A., Winthp.	35,100
McKENNA, Jos., Dorchester	35,100
MELZER, R. L., Boston	85,100
MORIARTY, P. T., Worcester	76,700
MULDOON, Mrs. Kath., Roxbury	35,100
NICKERSON, Miss L., Squantum	35,100
PETRIE, Miss Cath., Roxbury	55,100
POWERS, Miss Marg., Taunton	75,200
SULLIVAN, Geo. E., Roxbury	35,100
SULLIVAN, Mrs. M. J., Sprgfld.	86,100
TESSIER, Rene J., W. Somerville	5,000
THIBAULT, D. C., Somerville	35,000
TRUDEAU, Annette L., Brock.	77,600
VELARDO, Salve, E. Boston	5,000
WOODS, Emeline E., Wakefield	5,000

MICHIGAN

ASHTON, Mrs. R. V., Pontiac	35,100
BAEKELANDT, V., Detroit	65,300
BAUSE, A. S., Detroit	35,100
BEAUDRY, M. J., Monroe	76,700
BECKER, Mrs. M., Merrill	35,100
BEDER, Mrs. M., Merrill	5,000
BINDER, C. L., Detroit	89,700
BONNARD, Miss D., Rogers City	75,100
BRAUN, J., Centerline	55,100
COOPER, E. P., Detroit	35,100
CYROCHI, A., Jackson	5,000
DAVIS, E. M., Detroit	5,000
DE BLOCK, E., Grand Rapids	5,000
DENEWETH, Mrs. M., Detroit	77,100
DESMET, E., Detroit	5,000
DICHTEL, N., Detroit	5,000
DUMAS, Mrs. E., Traverse City	55,100
FEDEWA, R., St. Johns	65,300
FRAZIER, R. P., Detroit	55,100
GEBAUER, Mrs. J., Detroit	76,700
GIRODAT, P., Grand Rapids	65,300
GRIFFIN, M. C., Detroit	76,700
GROPPI, J. J., Hillsdale	5,000
GROTE, G., Detroit	35,100
HARBUS, W. P., Pontiac	5,000
HAVARD, G., Mt. Clemens	55,200
HILDEBRANDT, H., Detroit	35,100
HOULE, L., Detroit	55,100
HUMPAL, Mrs. M., Bridgeport	5,000
KAISER, Miss C., Detroit	35,100
LE CLAIR, E., Escanaba	75,100
LIVERNOIS, M., Plymouth	85,400
MARTUS, Mrs. L., Mt. Clem.	45,100
McDONALD, L., Mt. Pleasant	35,100
McNEIL, Mrs. A., Detroit	55,600
MLYNARCHEK, C., Bradley	5,000
MOTT, Mrs. A., Detroit	76,700
MURPHY, W. E., Jackson	5,000
O'CONNOR, Mrs. R. C., Owosso	5,000
OLSHESKI, F., N. W. Gr. Rapids	35,100
PAGE, L., Detroit	45,200
ROHNS, A., Detroit	35,100
SCHWARTZ, Mrs. E., Detroit	84,700
SHAFFRAN, I., Traverse City	85,100
SHANAHAN, M., Detroit	35,100
SHEREDA, Mr. L., Detroit	35,100
SHORK, Mrs. M., Detroit	35,100
SLATER, G., Detroit	35,100
STARR, E., Swartz Creek	5,000
VANDEVELDE, A., Monroe	5,000
VOIGHT, H. A., Detroit	79,700
VOLKER, S. M., Saginaw	5,000
WALKER, M. H., Royal Oak	35,100
WIRTZ, Mrs. F. M., Ionia	35,100
WITT, A. J., Niles	45,000

MINNESOTA

BALDER, G., Foley	35,100
BINDER, J., St. Paul	55,100
BOYLAN, J. E., Mankato	5,100
BREEN, Mrs. A. G. Minneapolis	76,700
BRINCKMAN, Mrs. R., Faribit.	35,100
BURNS, J. J., Minneapolis	77,600
CIHUNKA, J., Minneapolis	5,100
CREAN, J. F., Amboy	55,100
DUTMER, C. J., Duluth	35,100
EGAN, Mrs. V., Minneapolis	35,100
FOLEY, S., Minneapolis	55,100
FRIEDMANN, Miss V., St. P.	55,100
GALLAGHER, R. P., Mpls.	5,000
GALLAGHER, W., St. Paul	35,100
GALVIN, Mrs. E., Montrose	35,100
GLEASON, Mrs. M., St. Paul	35,100
GRUBER, F., St. Paul	45,300
HARTMAN, N., St. Paul	5,100
KING, J. V., St. Paul	35,100
KORST, F., Bird Island	35,200
KROENING, O., Princeton	5,000
LANGMADE, M. S., Mpls.	35,100
LIENAU, L., Minneapolis	35,100
LOEFFLER, P. A., Mpls.	55,100
LOOSBROCK, A., Lismore	76,700
LYMAN, J. J., Minneapolis	35,100
LYSTNE, O., Minneapolis	35,100
MACKEY, J. F., Rochester	65,300
MAHONEY, B. C., Mpls	65,300
MECHTEL, A. F., New Prague	65,100
MEDLO, J., Minneapolis	76,700
MERGENS, M., Chanhassen	55,100
MICHAUD, N., St. Paul	35,100
MULLIGAN, J. B., St Paul	5,000
PULKRABEK, A., Browerville	5,100
REEDY, W. J., Mpls.	35,100
RICHTER, E., Rochester	55,100
RIES, E. C., New Ulm	55,100
RINCK, O. M., Minneapolis	55,100
ROGERS, R. J., Brainerd	5,100
RYAN, E. P., Minneapolis	5,100
SEIVERT, V., St. Paul	5,100
SCHLINK, E. J., St. Paul	35,100
TRUTNAU, S. W., Excelsior	65,300
TURSSO, A. R., St. Paul	5,100
WHALEN, E. M., Stillwater	35,100

MISSOURI

BLEY, W. J., St. Louis	89,500
BROWNE, M., Normandy	35,100
COLEMAN, H., W., St. Louis	5,100
DE MOOR, J. B., St. Louis	89,500
DILLON, J., St. Louis	55,100
EILERS, W., West Alton	47,000
ERNST, M., St. Louis	5,100
GOETZ, T. P., St. Louis	35,100
HEITZLER, A. C., Glendale	35,100
HELLMIG, E. R., St. Louis	5,100
JOHMANN, T. J., St. Louis	5,100
KING, Mrs. M., Clayton	35,100
KLINKHARDT, T. E., St Louis	35,100
KONCEN, Mrs. V., St. Louis	89,500
MORRELLO, J., St. Louis	5,000
MURPHY, Mr. R., Kansas City	65,300
RANDOLT, K., St. Louis	5,100
RECK, F. B., St. Louis	35,100
SCHWARZ, H., St. Louis	5,100
TERSCHLUSE, F., Union	55,100
TRACHTE, D. F., St. Louis	76,700
UNNERSTALL, E. A., St. Louis	35,100
WILKEN, H., St. Louis	89,500
WISSLER, J. B., St. Louis	5,100

MONTANA

FREEMAN, Mrs. G., Great Falls	5,100

NEBRASKA

ROBINSON, J. E., Broken Bow	35,100
SCHLEF, A. H., West Point	35,100
SULLIVAN, L. J., Lincoln	35,100

NEW HAMPSHIRE

BRIEN, A., Manchester	45,200
BUCKMINSTER, Mrs. J., Manch.	5,100
COMTOIS, J. A., Berlin	35,100
DWYER, M. A., Nashua	35,200
GALLANT, B., Cascade	5,100

NEW JERSEY

ANTON, F. J., Jersey City	5,000
BAGGAT, M. C., Jersey City	55,100
BAILEY, G. Jersey City	75,100
BISCHOFF, M., Jersey City	35,100
CHIASSON, W., Atlantic City	75,300
CLARK, J., Arlington	65,100
DE LOTTO, A., Clifton	5,000
GEISEL, Mrs. B., Elizabeth	35,100
GONZALES, M., Rahway	35,100
GRANT, Mrs. W., Phillipsbg.	5,000
HAMMEL, W. F., Irvington	76,700
HODGES, W. A., Montclair	75,300
HOLLAND, A. J., Trenton	35,100
KELLY, M., Kearny	5,100
LENK, J. Iselin	5,100
MAHER, J. F., Rahway	53,300
McKENNY, J. P., Bloomfield	61,200
OAKES, E. M., Gloucester	55,100
QUINN, R. H., Clifton	35,100
SCHWEINS, J., Iselin	5,100
SHANAHAN, E., S. Orange	5,100
SIMONSE, Miss H., Paterson	35,100
TALTY, M. J., Jersey City	35,100

NEW YORK

AMES, K. R., Brooklyn	35,100
BASCH, W. J., Sr., Brooklyn	5,100
BERNARD, H., Bronx	35,100
BETTINGER, Mrs. E., Bellerose	35,100
BOLAND, J. F., Syracuse	35,100
BOLTON, M., Brooklyn	5,100
BOWLES, Mrs. L. G., Ozone Pk.	5,100
BOYLE, A. J., Brooklyn	45,100
BURKE, E. E., Irvington	35,100
BURKE, J., New York	76,700
CAIN, W. H., Buffalo	5,000
CASHIN, J. W., New York	35,100
CAULFIELD, P., New York	65,100
CLARK, A., Jamaica, L. I.	35,100
COLE, Wm. J., Brooklyn	5,100
COLLINS, J. P., Montrose	59,200
CONNOLLY, J., Elmont	55,100
COOPER, W., Schenectady	35,100
CULKIN, F., St. Bonaventure	45,200
CURRY, Mrs. J. W., Johnson C.	5,100
DE MARCO, J., Newark	55,100
DENNEDY, P., Brooklyn	35,100
DEVINE, P., New York	35,100
DONNELLY, H. G., St. Albans	35,100
DONNELLY H. L., Brooklyn	77,600
DONOHUE, N., Far Rockaway	35,100
DUNNE, H. M., Brooklyn	55,100
EIFLER, G., Syracuse	35,100
ESPOSITO, J., Bronx	5,100
ESTERLY, M., Beechhurst	35,100
FALLON, I. A., New York	87,900
FINNEGAN, R. J., Rochester	76,700
FLYNN, C. A., Jamaica	55,100
FLYNN, M. E., Bronx	55,200
FORD, A. M., Mamaroneck	35,100
GERRARD, M., Brooklyn	5,100
GUTTMAN, E. P., Jackson Hts.	76,700
HANGLOW, M., New York	35,100
HASTRY, J., Brooklyn	35,100
HEALY, H., Ossining	5,100
HENAULT, L., Albany	35,100
HOEPPEL, G., Brooklyn	35,100
JOHNSON, E. R., Bronx	5,100
KENEFICK, Mrs. J., Utica	5,100
KERLIN, H., Syracuse	55,100
KERR, J. W., New York	35,100
KERWIN, Mrs. J. Auburn	5,100
KISSANE, L., Brooklyn	5,100
KLAPP, Mrs. H., Rochester	35,100
KRAUSS, C., Ozone Park	76,700
LACY, M., New York	45,200
LARSON, E., Brooklyn	55,100
LAYER, G. J., Lockport	55,100
LEO, N. C., Brooklyn	35,100
LINCK, J., Lockport	65,300
LINDENBERGER, A., Bklyn.	35,100
LUCENTI, P. P., New York	35,100
LYNCH, Mrs. M., Binghampton	65,200
LYNCH, P. P., Woodside	5,100
LYONS, Mrs. D., New York	35,100
MACCARDY, V. J., Brooklyn	5,100
MAFERA, E., Brooklyn	65,100
MALONE, Miss J., Rochester	65,300
MANNIX, E., Brooklyn	85,400
MARRON, P. J., Bronx	35,100
MARTIN, C., Brooklyn	35,100
MARTIN, G., New York	5,100
MARZULO, L., Brooklyn	35,100
McAVOY, E., Bronx	55,100
McCABE, H. P., Brooklyn	55,100
McCANN, J., Kings Park	55,100
McCONWAY, E., New York	45,100
McDONOUGH, C. J., Brooklyn	55,100
McGURL, O., New York	35,100
McMENAMIN, C., New York	55,100
McMORROW, C., Brooklyn	55,100
METTLER, Mrs. J., Earlville	35,100
MOHAN, Miss K., New York	5,100
MOHAN, Mrs. K. K., New York	5,100
MUELLER, E., New York	65,300
MYERS, D. K., Lewiston	55,100
NARKUN, Mr. P. P., New York	5,100
NOVAK, W., Ronkonkoma	55,500
O'BRIEN, Mrs. K., Mechanicv	35,100
O'HALLORAN, D., New York	55,100
OLSON, C., Woodside	85,000
ORLANDI, A., Brooklyn	35,100
O'ROARKE, Thos., Watervliet	35,100
OSLANSKY, S. A., Glencove	89,500

(ENTRIES FROM OTHER STATES IN ALPHABETICAL ORDER WILL APPEAR IN NEXT ISSUE. Please notify us of any typographical error as to name or address.)

137 PRIZES

Read This Big Prize List!

Cadillac Fleetwood Sedan*	$2,998
Lincoln-Zephyr Sedan	1,441
Packard "6" Sedan	1,137
Chrysler "6" Sedan	1,018
Plymouth "6" Sedan	824
Chevrolet "6" Sedan	762
Ford "V-8" Sedan	752
Two Overseas Trips	800
101 Grand Cash Prizes	2,250
27 Special Cash Prizes	1,000
Fund for Commissions, Estimated but Not Limited	10,000
GRAND TOTAL	$22,982

(*In place of an automobile, winner has the option of one or two tours overseas, according to value of prize won; or a cash option may be chosen.)

SCHEDULE OF VOTES

Subscriptions	Votes
6 Months ($1.50)	4,000
1 Year ($3.00)	10,000
2 Years ($6.00)	30,000

The above are regular votes and will obtain throughout the contest. A special club bonus of 100,000 EXTRA votes will be allowed on each $15 worth of subscriptions turned in. On each NEW subscription (of one year or longer) the following bonus will also be allowed: 40,000 EXTRA votes up to the 28th of February. The bonuses decrease each period.

FIRST SUBSCRIPTION COUPON

Good For 20,000 Bonus Votes

Return this coupon to the Campaign Manager with your first subscription payment, either old or new, and you will receive 20,000 votes, in addition to the votes allowed on regular vote schedule.

Name of Subscriber_____

Address_____

City_____

Candidate's Name_____

Amount $_____ (Old or New)

This coupon, accompanied by the Nomination Blank and your first subscription, will start you in the race with 25,000 votes, plus the regular votes on the subscription, as shown in the schedule. Only one of these coupons will be credited to each candidate.

NOMINATION BLANK

Good For 5,000 Votes

PLEASE ENTER:

Name_____

As a Candidate in SOCIAL JUSTICE Prize Campaign

Address_____

City_____ Occupation_____

Signed_____

Address_____

(You Can Nominate Yourself or Any Other Person)

This coupon will count for 5,000 extra votes when properly filled out and sent to the Campaign Director on or before the expiration date during which nominations will be accepted. Only one of these coupons will be credited to any candidate.

PRODUCED BY UNZ.ORG
ELECTRONIC REPRODUCTION PROHIBITED

Loan to China Invites a War

By HON. ROBERT R. REYNOLDS
Senator from North Carolina

GREAT BRITAIN has a vast interest in the Orient. In comparison we have but a pittance. The amount of the money we have invested in China, if we could get it all back, would be only sufficient to buy a couple of battleships weighing 45,000 tons apiece.

I notice from the newspapers that Great Britain has decided to join against Japan. Senators will remember that Anthony Eden came to the United States. While here he talked with some of our officials, and Anthony had barely returned to England when it was announced through the press that our Export and Import Bank had loaned to China $25-million. In a day or two after that the press stated that Great Britain planned to do the same thing.

You know as well as I do that there was an agreement—and I dare say that that agreement or conclusion was reached when Eden was here—to the effect that we would lend China $25-million; that Great Britain would follow. But Great Britain suggested that we make the first move in lending that $25-million because it would look better—it would leave a better taste in the mouth of the American people than would be the case if Great Britain made the move by lending $25-million and the United States followed.

Senators, today the United States is in war with Japan! There is an undeclared war on the part of the United States against Japan, and that undeclared war was brought about when the Export and Import Bank loaned $25-million to China in its war against the unholy aggression of Japan.

I feel sorry for the Chinese. I have been in sympathy with them all along, despite the fact that two-thirds of China is Communistic; despite the fact that Russia is financing China as much as she can. But I have not been in sympathy with the government of China, which is two-thirds Communistic; I have been in sympathy with the people. There are occasions and times when there is a radical distinction between a government and the people themselves. I am only hoping and praying that we will not become involved in war with any country in the world.



Paving the Way for Ownership

NO FURTHER justification is needed for refusing the President the additional agency-juggling powers he would like from the reorganization bill of 1939, than his recent use of the appointive power he already possesses.

Following, of course, the appointments of new Cabinet officers, whose selection most persons regard as the Chief Executive's own business, there came the promotion of Felix Frankfurter to the Supreme Court.

However, the straw which sooner or later must do something to the camel, came with President Roosevelt's nomination of Thomas R. Amlie to a six-year term on the Interstate Commerce Commission. The I.C.C., created as an investigative and semi-legislative arm of Congress, has for it chief concern at present, the supervision and solution of the railroad problem.

Mr. Amlie's only known qualification in this regard is his complete agreement with the President's personal attitude towards Government ownership of the railroads—a qualification which makes him more of a liability than an asset to the Commission right now.

Amlie, a lefter-than-LaFollette "progressive" from Wisconsin, was politically repudiated by his home state at the last election. The voters, wearied of the neo-socialism of these young "liberals," black-balled the whole crowd and turned back to the traditions of sanity.

Mr. Amlie says he is not a Communist. Whether or not he belongs to the party makes little difference. His acts and theories are such that he might just as well belong. He has long advocated the Marxian principle of production-for-use only. He has publicly expressed his hope for a "loyalist" red victory in Spain. He not only believes in, but has openly advocated confiscation of wealth, and Government ownership not only of the railroads, but also "of business, manufacturing, commerce, industry and banking." To make sure that the Constitution would not interfere with this Government ownership proposal which he advanced in March 1937, the new I.C.C. appointee included in his bill, provisions to negate the 5th and 14th Amendments to the Constitution which limit the extent of private property-taking without due process of law.

However, all this has only rendered Amlie more valuable in the eyes of his New Deal chief who hastened to find the 41-year-old "progressive" a $10,000 a year berth in official Washington.

To this both Houses of the Wisconsin state legislature have objected, adopting joint resolutions to be sent to the President protesting the appointment on the grounds that Amlie is a "Communist agitator," and to the United States Senate requesting that it refuse to confirm the appointment. It was the first time in its history that the state legislature took such an action.

Clearer and clearer is the evidence that our ambitious young "liberals" are becoming less and less democratic—more and more desirous of a brand of New Deal totalitarianism all their own.

The Amlie nomination is just a prologue. For the real fate of the floundering railroads watch for the new powers asked in the reorganization bill of 1939.

Jobless Lose To Profiteers

By HON. J. WILLIAM DITTER
Representative from Pennsylvania

NEVER IN ALL THE HISTORY of this nation has there been such a spectacle of human misfortune, want, and misery being made the pawns of political machinations as has been witnessed under the administration of relief funds in the last four years.

This political misuse of relief funds shows a cynicism appalling in its utter abandonment of all political decency. It represents corruption of a character too mean and contemptible adequately to be expressed in words. If words could express it, it would be found in the phrase, "* * * tax and tax, spend and spend, elect and elect."

From every state in this Union have come reports of these misuses of relief funds, and it is worse than idle to attempt to gloss over or to hide either the nefarious practices engaged in by unscrupulous politicians or the inexpressibly terrible effects of these practices upon the conscience of the nation and upon the morale of the unemployed.

The New Deal politicians needed votes; apparently they believed that a good method of obtaining them was by the wholesale temporary padding of the W.P.A. rolls just before the election. The unfortunate unemployed represented to these New Deal politicians just so many potential votes. Their usefulness as voters expired when the elections were over. Whether the New Deal ticket won or lost, these unfortunate and helpless people were slated for prompt dismissal. The New Deal politicians knew that, of course, but they cruelly permitted those put on relief to regard their pitiful W.P.A. employment as something more than a temporary rescue from their condition of suffering.

Minority Policy Not a Just Cause

By HON. B. CHAMP CLARK
Senator from Missouri

IF WE SHALL ADOPT as a policy that mistreatment of (racial) minorities in other countries shall be a cause for war, it may be called to our attention that at various times we have had skeletons in our own closets. For instance, I think it will be admitted on every side that we violated treaties with the Indians, and that the white settlers of this country in the main shamefully mistreated the Indians.

Of course, it might also be said that if every nation has a right to interfere in the treatment of minorities by other nations, as an internal matter, any nation of the world might have the right to declare war on us today by reason of the fact that lynching of American citizens of African descent has been permitted to go on in this country, and that the Congress of the United States as the sovereign power in this country has refused to take any action on that subject. Would Germany, for instance, or France, or any other country, be justified in declaring war on us for that reason?

Writers' Project Is Money Waste

By HON. J. PARNELL THOMAS
Representative from New Jersey

I WANT TO SAY a word about one of the W.P.A. arts projects, particularly the one in New York City, and that is the Writers' Project. A witness who came before the Dies committee stated that of the 15 supervisors on the Writers' Project in New York City, 13 were members of the Communist Party, and that of all the employees on that project, 80 per cent of them were members of the Workers' Alliance and that you could not get on the project today unless you became a member of the Workers' Alliance; and it did not make any difference whether you were a veteran or how worthy you were or in what sort of straitened circumstances you were, you just could not get on the Writers' Project in New York City today unless you joined the Workers' Alliance.

This witness also said that of the 500 workers, so-called, on that project, they had published in 3½ years, five books. Five hundred workers published five books and two of the five were gotten out since the Dies committee started its meetings, and of the 500 workers one man was responsible for three of the books and the 499 other workers were responsible for two. The reason for this was the inefficiency of the project, the constant bickering, the constant Communistic meetings, the constant protest meetings, and it was not until the Dies committee, which has been investigating un-American activities, started that the administrators of the project were able to make anything at all out of the chaos that had existed.

I hope that instead of appropriating millions of dollars to care for many of the un-American activities on the Federal theater project and the Federal Writers' Project, and instead of appropriating millions of dollars with which to carry on the political activities of the W.P.A., we will divert these millions to the care of our worthy older people and to our worthy unemployed widows with minor children, who have been so abruptly thrown out in the cold.

PRODUCED BY UNZ.ORG
ELECTRONIC REPRODUCTION PROHIBITED

Polls Radio Audience

To the Editor:

Followers of Father Coughlin here in Springfield—and he has a host of them—as well as thousands who insist on our constitutional right of free speech, will not permit any radio station management to dictate to them whom they shall or shall not listen to. Public opinion alone is the deciding factor. Our own local station, WSPR, got nervous after one of the recent so-called controversial broadcasts. A few non-religious Jews and "Flamm" Reds got busy on the station telephone.

The station decided to poll its audience on the question: "'Is Father Coughlin right or wrong?" The poll lasted three days, and *the results of the thousands of cards and letters received showed better than* 6 *to* 1 *in favor of his discourses!*

Mr. Donald Flamm apparently lacked the courage to take the question up with the audience of WMCA, but sets himself up as a prosecutor, judge, jury, censor and guardian of public morals. Must I remind Donald that this is not Russia, Germany or Italy, but America, and the quicker he realizes it the quicker the people will return and buy the products advertised over WMCA, which now are being boycotted?

As to the charge of being "anti-Semitic" that the opposition tries to fasten on the good priest of Royal Oak, that's cheap propaganda. Father Coughlin requested the prayers of his audience for the persecuted Jews in Germany. Does that denote he is anti-Jew?

Keep up the fight and serve notice by so doing that WMCA can't get away with such Stalinite methods.

This fight is the people's fight. Let's make it a good one and settle this matter for all time.

—Frank B. Hirschberg,
President, Tower Club.

Springfield, Mass.



Score On Congressmen

To the Editor:

In many newspaper offices a record is kept of all the doings of Congressmen, especially their vote on important bills. It occurred to me that every home should "keep score" on their Congressman to know whether he is worthy to be returned to Congress upon the expiration of his term. Thus, I devised a make-shift "score card" that could hang in every home.

If enough people in one town could be interested in keeping such a card up to date, they might see to it that their local paper gives the vote of their Congressman on every issue. The local editor might even inaugurate a "Congressional page" such as the sport page, the society page and the financial page now carried by practically all newspapers.

This score card, if given wide publicity, may have enough psychological effect on the Congressman to upset the politician's belief that "the people soon forget."

—Fred A. Geier

Cincinnati, Ohio

WHERE REAL DANGER LIES

To the Editor:

In a letter to Social Justice several weeks ago Mr. A. T. Jones called the program of Jesus Christ something vague and abstract. Evidently, Mr. Jones has never heard of the encyclicals of Leo XIII and Pius XI. Or, he has never looked at page 8 of Social Justice wherein the sixteen principles are listed.

He informs us that the New Deal is keeping us from chaos. But on the contrary, it is—by its continual borrowing — bringing bankruptcy upon our fair land.

Moreover, he advances the idea that Fascism is the real threat to our nation. In answer to this argument, may I submit these questions:

Is there a Fascist International?

Do we have a Fascist Party in this country?

Do we have Washington officials belonging to Fascist organizations?

Do we have a Cabinet member risk her office to prevent the deportation of a Fascist labor union leader?

In all of the above cases, if you substitute the word, "Communist" for Fascist, the answer is "Yes."

You ask if Communists are driving us to arm feverishly and seem to think that we must prepare to fight the Fascist nations. As General Van Horn Moseley points out, the Fascist nations would do anything to be allies of ours. He goes on to say that if any nation attacks us, we could run them right out into the sea within a week. The real danger, he warns, exists in the citizens who daily criticize and challenge Fascist powers. These citizens are prone to ignore the real danger—Communism.

As Msgr. Sheen stated in his address of February 28th, 1938: "Fascism is the reaction against Communism. If, therefore, you want to keep Fascism out of America, the best thing to do is to keep out Communism."

—Robert A. Bomeisl

Bronx, N. Y.

REVOLUTION IS RED NECESSITY

To the Editor:

The well known paper *"Je Suis Partout,"* published in Paris, gives in its issue No. 280, 1936 a number of Bolshevist doctrines which deserve all the more attention in that they are taken from an official Communistic work.

These Bolshevist precepts show quite clearly that Moscow is working in the direction of another world war. Therein it is stated:

"Leninism rejects all pacifist theories on the abolition of war in the capitalist world order and shows the proletarian masses the only way leading to the goal: destruction of capitalism." (*Precepts of the Third Internationale; International Correspondence, Special Number of the* 11*th December,* 1928, *page* 1712).

On page 1724 of the same publication we read:

"Wars between proletarian and bourgeois states are a matter of necessity and are inevitable. It is therefore the first duty of the proletariat to make all the necessary political, economic and military preparations for these wars, in order to strengthen the Red Army, that mighty weapon of the proletariat. The masses must be trained by those who have studied the art of war with great perseverance. In imperialistic states there is a crying contrast between their gigantic armaments and their pretense of peaceful intentions. In a Soviet power this contrast does not exist, as it is busy building up its own defense and preparing itself for the revolutionary war."

Again, on page 1712:

"Wars of the proletarian dictatorship against bourgeois states and World Capitalism cannot be avoided.

* * * "In such a war," continues this Red document on Page 1717, "the proletariat of the imperialistic countries must not only fight for the defeat of their own country, but must fight actively for the victory of the soviet power . . . The Red Army is not an enemy army, but is the army of the International Proletariat."

In other words, this means that if the Soviet is attacked and our country is not on the side of the Soviet, the Communist Party of the United States automatically will call its forces against the existing United States Government!

—John Beck

Glendale, N. Y.

Remedy Our Own

To the Editor:

This letter is written in response to an article I noticed in this column January 9th sent in by Mr. Holt of New York City, in which he tells of the shooting of a detective in Italy and urges that the Pope and Mussolini should purge Italy of its indecent, law-defying citizens.

Those whose attention and curiosity are aroused by the activities of other countries might shout "Hurrah and three cheers!"

But it so happens that I have just finished reading our local evening papers and the items I find occupying the front pages are disgusting and morally upsetting, to say the least. Screaming headlines inform me of the killing of a policeman while investigating a hold-up; (incidentally the gun-man was not an Italian, Mr. Holt); women beaten and robbed by young thugs. Robberies, murders, rape and every inconceivable wrong are common, everyday occurrences in our own America, a land where refinement and culture abound aplenty.

How dare we raise a critical voice in protest of atrocities in other lands, when our own people are traveling the road of moral and spiritual destruction?

Mind you, Mr. Holt, I am not commending the action of those men whom you have spoken of—rather, I am more concerned with the proverbial beam that exists in America's public eye.

Let us focus our attention on conditions in this country and strive to correct them before passing judgment on a people of some other land.

—A. Di Maio.

Cleveland, Ohio



Arms Not For Peace

To the Editor:

I wish to express my candid opinions relative to President Roosevelt's message to Congress on the state of the Union.

I firmly believe the entire 3,500 words of the President's speech solely consisted of bringing our country into another war with foreign nations. He clearly and explicitly concedes that some 10,000 (this amount may be revised in the near future) warplanes are to be used for so-called necessary protection against foreign invasion.

That theme song seemed too similar to that of the late President Wilson's policies when his Administration, in 1916, appropriated a cool billion dollars for warplanes during those dreaded days. What was the result then? Only four complete planes were finally shipped to France—and then just as the Armistice was signed.

Think of it—one billion dollars for four warplanes, and yet we in America still persist to police the entire world! Already word from Washington disclosed the point that more "prime the pump" money will again be used to build untold thousands of warplanes in the near future. Does it not enter the minds of our so-called Washington democrats that due to vast and important advancements in modern aircraft, such numbers of warplanes would soon become obsolete?

Why all this war talk and war planning?

It seems to me, and perchance to your Social Justice readers, that we in America are minding everybody else's business and forgetting our own manifold complications. Does not America really want peace?

By what stretch of imagination does President Roosevelt expect peace with his proposed armament program, so long as we have our nose in other countries' business?

Many problems on our own shores need to be ironed out. Just what has become of our democracy—a democracy we are so often told to maintain in this day and age?

—Herbert J. Mancini

Cleveland, Ohio

PRODUCED BY UNZ.ORG
ELECTRONIC REPRODUCTION PROHIBITED

Mr. Baruch In a Hurry

From the New York Herald-Tribune

WASHINGTON, D. C., Jan. 23—Bernard M. Baruch, New York financier and former head of the War Industries Board, offered to put up $3,300,000 of his own money last May to bring the Army up to date on the vital machinery which was lacking at the time, it was learned today in Congressional quarters where hearings on the new defense program are under way.

So concerned was Mr. Baruch, an old and consistent friend of the War Department's industrial preparedness program, over deficiencies in supplies that he made an offer to Col. Louis Johnson, Assistant Secretary of War, to underwrite the cost of some important items. These involved reserve machinery for the manufacture of smokeless powder. The regular War Department appropriation bill had not contained funds for this purpose.

Capitol Hill heard that a subsequent request from the White House to Congress and the passage of a supplemental War Department appropriation bill at the end of the last session provided the machinery about which Mr. Baruch and the War Department were anxious. The machinery, which takes about eight months to turn out, is reported to be almost ready.

What the Rabbis Say On Fall of Barcelona

THE PRESIDENT'S conviction that so-called "democracies" are in for an inevitable "attack from the dictators" is unanimously subscribed to by the Jewish Rabbinate. Almost as unanimously, the sermons of New York rabbis saw in the fall of Barcelona a symbol of doom for our western civilization.

Said Dr. Israel Goldstein, preaching before the congregation B'nai Jeshurun: "Great Britain and France have been suicidally short-sighted. The so-called neutrality policy of the United States was a contributing factor in Barcelona's fall. If democracy is to survive the aggressions of Fascist powers they will have to find a way to match the efficiency, firmness and discipline of the aggressors without forfeiting their own essential democratic safeguards."

Rabbi Louis I. Newman, Congregation Rodeph Sholom:

"Since the Pope has unmistakably supported Franco and since Catholic representatives everywhere have paralyzed every effort of the democracies to come to the aid of the loyalists, the obligation rests directly upon the shoulders of the Vatican and its outposts *to restrain the soldiers of Franco from making Spain a shambles.*"

Rabbi Abraham L. Feinberg, Mt. Neboh Temple:

"The challenge of Facism must weld the inhabitants of our land into unimpaired unity for unflinching self-defense."

Rabbi Herbert S. Goldstein, West Side Institutional Synagog:

"Not appeasement, but justice arrived at by an open international arbitration court is the world's need presently if we are to avert war."

Rabbi William F. Roseblum, Temple Israel:

"Unless we manage to keep our unemployed youth occupied they may well become the nucleus of an American contingent which will turn their back on our democracy."

Rabbi Benjamin A. Tintner, Mount Zion Congregation:

"Which nation will be blessed with more enduring influence—the democracies, which teach their children to love everyone, irrespective of racial origin or creed, or the totalitarian governments, which recognize only one source of power and that is the one which represents their own interests, and would repulse the ingress of any foreign influence, no matter how constructive it might be?"

Rabbi David de Sola Pool, Spanish and Portuguese Synagog:

"In the long perspective of history, it is peace that has conquered war, right which has been triumphant over wrong, justice which has prevailed over oppression, democracy which has gained the upper hand over tyranny, liberty which has won the victory over human rightlessness."

Catholic Press Attacks Called 'Discouraging'

From the ECHO, Buffalo, N. Y.

IT IS MOST disheartening to see how much passion and how little intelligence rules and directs men's thoughts, even those who are numbered among the intellectuals. The opposition from such men as Msgr. John A. Ryan and George Shuster to Father Coughlin's recent broadcasts serves as the source of our utter discouragement. After a careful re-reading of the broadcasts in which the so-called Jewish question came up for discussion, *we find it quite impossible to discover even a trace of anti-Semitism* for which the radio priest's broadcasts are indicted.

It is gratifying to know that so eminent a scholar as the Rev. Francis Borgia Steck, O.F.M., our greatest contemporary Catholic historian, comes to the same conclusion as expressed in a letter to the editor of the *"Commonweal,"* the publication in which the blasts against Father Coughlin appeared. This Franciscan scholar calls attention to the company the Detroit priest is in so far as his stand on this problem is concerned. "His broadcast of November 20th, I beg leave to submit," remarks Dr. Steck, "was no more anti-Semitic than what Archbishop Mitty told us over the radio on November 16th and what Msgr. Fulton Sheen said in his sermon at the recent Pan American Mass here in Washington." The distinguished Franciscan scores a point when he remarks that "confusion is apt to arise, however, in the minds of such as do not like to see Father Coughlin in this distinguished company. It adds such authority to his words and makes his stand so strong."

Father Coughlin has fearlessly and courageously discussed the Jewish problem that others would pass by in cowardly silence. Yes, they would even have us believe that no such problem exists . . . It is, therefore, incredible that Catholic scholars and publicists can criticize Father Coughlin's very temperate reference to the part that a Jewish "Weltanschauung" has contributed to these untoward world conditions.

The League of the Little Flower Requests You to Pray for the Sick and the Dead

"I beseech you therefore, brethren, through our Lord Jesus Christ and by the charity of the Holy Ghost, that you help me in your prayers for me to God."
ROMANS 15:30

ILL

ILLINOIS	
Chicago	Mrs. Katie Black
Enfield	Mrs. Ella *Biggerstaff*
Enfield	Miss Rose Campbell
Enfield	Mr. Wayne Devoy
Enfield	Mrs. Mary D. Graddy
Enfield	Mr. and Mrs. Will Hays
Joliet	Elizabeth Otto
NEW YORK	
Newark	Mrs. Christine Ellis
Newark	Elmo Smith
Newark	Mr. and Mrs. Kenneth Smith
Newark	Mr. and Mrs. Wm. Smith
OHIO	
Akron	Kenneth R. Kline
PENNSYLVANIA	
Pittsburgh	Toni Cunningham
Reading	Thomas Brophy
Reading	Mrs. Mary S. Gable
Reading	Lawrence Wiza
VERMONT	
Barre	Mrs. Burton Humphrey
Roxbury	Mrs. Hattie Spaulding
WISCONSIN	
Janesville	M. H. McArdle

DECEASED

CONNECTICUT	
Manchester	Mrs. John Griffin
ILLINOIS	
Chicago	Margaret A. Haley
Chicago	Michael J. Toth
INDIANA	
Hoagland	Rev. Joachim Baker
MAINE	
Old Town	Mrs. Aurelie Tremblay
Old Town	Mr. George St. Pierre, Sr.
MASSACHUSETTS	
Lexington	Mr. Cotter
NEW JERSEY	
Newark	William Johnson
NEW YORK	
Albany	Mr. Michael O'Keefe
Glendale	Frank Vessenmeyer, Sr.
Newark	Mrs. James Brace
New York City	Mary Corbett
Syracuse	J. Wolff
OHIO	
Cleveland	Ralph Gable
PENNSYLVANIA	
Reading	Eleanor Falkiewicz
Reading	Stephen Falkiewicz
Reading	Michael Kozlowski
Reading	Rose and Julia Kozlowski
Reading	Fanny Urkuski
Reading	John J. Urkuski
Reading	Theo. F. Urkuski
Reading	Maryanna Wiza
West Philadelphia	Bridget Gallagher
Wilkes-Barre	Tomanz Bedkowski
VERMONT	
Barre	Joseph and Elroy Badger
Barre	Howard Bushee
Barre	Rev. R. F. Cahill
Barre	Alexander Desurreau
Barre	William Goldsbury
Barre	Edwin Good
Barre	Elton Lord
Barre	Angus MacDonald
Barre	Rev. P. M. McKenna
Barre	Frank Nichols
Barre	Will Page
Barre	Arnold Pierce
Barre	Donald Rock
Barre	William Ryan
Barre	Helen White
Barre	Charles Whitman
Burlington	Bishop Rice
Calais	Jack Grace
Graniteville	Rev. Fr. Lachance
Graniteville	Rev. Fr. Tourville
Middlebury	Donald Halpin
Poultney	Clyde Moody
WISCONSIN	
Custer	Vera Morrison
FOREIGN	
Ontario, Canada	Charles A. Morrison
Ontario, Canada	Margaret Morrison
Toronto, Ont., Canada	Margaret Mary Fleury

My God, bestow Thy blessings and Thy mercies on all persons, and on those souls in Purgatory, for whom I am in charity, gratitude, or friendship, bound and have the desire to pray. Amen.

You are invited to join the League of the Little Flower.

BENEFITS: 1. You are remembered every morning at mass at the Shrine of the Little Flower.

2. You are remembered every morning at a special mass said for our intentions at the Tomb of Christ near Jerusalem.

OBLIGATION: Each day say the Lord's Prayer for the intention of the Little Flower. Her intention is to lead souls back to God.

PRIVILEGES: You are privileged to enclose the names of the deceased members of your family. They, too, will be remembered each day at the Sacrifice of the Mass.

FEES: Each living member is requested to contribute one dollar a year. The money derived from this will be used to help Father Coughlin promote his religious activities.

Mail your membership dues to Father Coughlin at Royal Oak, Michigan.

Your prayers are earnestly solicited for our sick friends and for the faithful departed who have recently passed to their reward.

PRODUCED BY UNZ.ORG
ELECTRONIC REPRODUCTION PROHIBITED

THE WAY THE WIND BLOWS

By THE INDICATOR

Hitler "Most Hated;" Roosevelt Beats God!

A CANVASS of 50,000 New York school children between 6 and 16 puts God second in popularity to President Roosevelt, and on the "hate list" the devil drew third place after Hitler and Mussolini.

Of course, any "vote" among school children is an instant cross-section of their parents and teachers—their home influence and their schooling. The inroads of Communistic influence in New York classrooms has long been a national scandal. Here is the "box score" as reported by the United Press:

"The two dictators received 88 per cent of the boys' votes and 98 per cent of those cast by girls. In third place the boys placed the devil, followed respectively by Josef Stalin and General Francisco Franco.

"The boys gave President Roosevelt 39 per cent of their votes for the most beloved man. God got 22 per cent. George Washington and Abraham Lincoln received 15 per cent. The girls gave the President 47 per cent and God 24 per cent!"

Catholic Church Is in for "Smear"

ON TUESDAY, JANUARY 24th, a radical group of newspaper columnists and writers upon whom your favorite paper depends for entertainment and instruction, met in Washington, D. C., and discussed ways and means *to arouse the American people against the Catholic Church "as the protector and defender of Fascist ideas."*

The Catholics are going to "pay through the nose" for sabotaging the efforts of Moscow to "lift the embargo" on Loyalist Spain. Much money and effort was spent by the radicals in this country, and their press puppeteers, to whip up sentiment and get this Government to act on the side of Red Spain. Their failure, the writers placed directly at the door of the Catholic Church—and Father Coughlin.

These leftist writers have agreed that the Church must be presented to non-Catholic Americans as "the most dangerous organization in America" always on the side of reaction and the money barons. This is an old Marxist trick, frequently used in European revolutions.

So we may look soon for the howl to start in the signed press columns—as cleverly concealed as smart writing and gloved assault can make it.

BOSS, YOU ARE MY VALENTINE!

Dear Boss:

I slipped this coupon in the magazine unknownst to you. Please don't be sore.

I tell you every day that all the subscribers love you. To stop your arguing with me about this I am going to prove it. Each subscriber is going to write to you this week and say: "Dear Boss: You are my valentine." And he is going to get a subscription from each one to prove my point.

Lovingly yours,

"Pal"

Dorothy Thompson Starts It Off

MISS DOROTHY THOMPSON, in her widely syndicated *Herald-Tribune* column, and the Messrs. Alsop and Kintner have led off. Miss Thompson elected first to discuss the "peril to the Catholic Church" from the reported "cultural treaty" between General Franco and Chancellor Hitler.

If there are any three subjects upon which Dottie is completely disqualified as a reliable authority they are, reading from left to right, Chancellor Hitler, General Franco and the Catholic Church.

How about what happened to the Catholic Church in Miss Thompson's Russia under the workers' and peasants' revolution? Did she ever warn the Church about that "peril"? Come to think of it, when did we ever read in a Thompson column a forthright condemnation of Communism?

Why So Many 'Spanish' Refugees?

THE NUMBER and the desperation of "refugees" to get out of Loyalist Spain is giving the world a new picture. General Franco's occupation of every additional mile has been a process of rehabilitation. Food kitchens follow the guns, preceded by notices dropped from airplanes that "true Spaniards" have nothing to fear even if for years they have lived on the "other side." Only *criminals and Communists* will be apprehended, is the promise.

The frenzied exodus across the French border, so great that it taxes the French military guard, gives the lie to a lot of carefully prepared propaganda in this country. The hordes clamoring for escape at the border ARE NOT SPANIARDS! Thousands upon thousands are Russian Reds!

Ramblings by Pal

Hello, Folks!

HOW'VE YOU BEEN? Well and happy, I hope, like I am. And busy, too. Yes, I'm a busy boy these days. There's lots of work to do here and everyone is hustling and bustling around, busy as bees. We're even working overtime and on Saturdays, too, but I don't mind. I like it this way—life's so much more interesting and exciting when there's lots to do. Don't you think so?

* * *

Gladys had to hire some new girls to help our regular staff get out the books you people wrote for so long ago. I had lots of fun looking them over and giving Gladdie the okay on them. They're a pretty nice looking bunch of girls, I'd say.

I only hope we get in enough work to keep these sweet young things with us for a while!

* * *

I dashed over to the school the other day to attend a lecture. Yes, I manage to take care of things like that, too, along with my many other duties. Father Keating was giving a lecture on discipline or something like that, and just because he was teased about it so much by Father Cassian and Father Peter, I thought I'd like to hear it. I didn't understand what it was all about, but I guess it was pretty good. All the Sisters were very interested, and even Father Cassian and Father Peter said it was fine. They all work hard at the school. I think the boys and girls here are lucky to have so many fine people interested in them.

* * *

We've got a new ping-pong outfit at our house. I have a good time watching the Boss play with the others. I like to see him enjoying himself. It's a silly sort of game, just hitting a little white ball around, trying to keep it on the table. Most of the time it goes off and rolls in a corner or under a chair or even in the fireplace. I offered to run for it for them, but they told me no, that it's part of the game to stoop over and pick it up. Silly, isn't it. But then, if they enjoy it, it's okay with me.

* * *

I've got something on my mind I want to talk over with you folks. You might think I'm an old softie, and maybe you're right—but anyhow, I'd like to know what you think about my idea.

I've noticed that when people like each other, they are always thinking up things that they can do to show it and they give the people they like presents and stuff like that. Just like the Boss gives me bones and rubber mice and things to play with 'cause he likes me so much. People even do things that are awful hard just to please their friends.

The other day I went with the Boss over to Royal Oak and as we went down Main Street I saw all the stores dressed up swell with their windows filled with pretty cards, and some boys and girls were looking at them. They were awfully interested, so I got curious and asked my Best Friend what it was all about and he told me that people give them to people they like on St. Valentine's Day.

That gave me my Big Idea. I like my Boss so much I want to give him just heaps and heaps of valentines. But I can't go in any store and buy even one—I haven't any money. Y'see, I donate my services as Circulation Manager—all I get is my board and room. I'm not complaining, but a little cash would come in handy.

Now, here's where you can help me out if you will. I thought that it would be kinda nice if each one of us could get a new subscriber to SOCIAL JUSTICE this week and send it in as a sort of valentine to the Boss to encourage him. I know he would 'preciate that more than anything you could do for him. All he thinks about all the time is how he can help everyone get along better, and he does his best to get it all in on the radio time he has, but he just can't manage it. So, he puts the rest of the news and lots of other things you should know about in SOCIAL JUSTICE. He told you all about that a couple of Sundays ago. So I know that if you really want to make him happy and make me wiggle all over with joy, too, you'll see to it that everybody in America reads SOCIAL JUSTICE.

Remember, heaps and heaps of valentines this week! I'll be looking for them. And—thanks a million for listenin'! **PAL.**



PRODUCED BY UNZ.ORG
ELECTRONIC REPRODUCTION PROHIBITED

WINNER TAKE ALL

Ewing Galloway

Here's what you, as an American citizen, are losing—losing—losing with every passing day:

1. Your right to worship God as you choose.
2. Your right to teach your children about God.
3. Your right to have your children hear mention of God's name in a public school.
4. Your right to speak freely of your government.
5. Your right to speak or write freely of anything decent.
6. Your right, as a worker, to strike.
7. Your right to own a farm, factory, home, automobile, cow or horse.
8. Your right to work for whom you please and quit when you desire.
9. Your right to vote.
10. Your right to buy what you desire.
11. Your right to travel where you wish to go.
12. Your right to trial by jury.
13. Your right to habeas corpus.
14. Your natural, God-given right to be FREE.

THERE IS NO SUCH THING AS EXERCISE OF HUMAN RIGHTS UNDER COMMUNISM!

Think it over—and HELP SAVE YOUR RIGHTS by aiding Father Coughlin's broadcasting campaign. Fifty Thousand Dollars is needed at once—or silence. Large or small, contribute TODAY.

SOCIAL JUSTICE PUBLISHING CO., INC.
Royal Oak, Michigan.

I wish to contribute to the 1939 Broadcasting Fund so that Father Coughlin may continue to spread principles of social justice in America.

I hereby pledge the sum of $_____ which I am enclosing herewith or which I will send in two equal monthly remittances on or before Feb. 20 and on or before March 20.

My Name Is _____

My Address Is _____

City or Town _____ State _____

PRODUCED BY UNZ.ORG
ELECTRONIC REPRODUCTION PROHIBITED

NATIONAL WE

Social Justice

Founded 1936 by F[illegible]er Coughlin



THE 'SMUT' VENDOR

Our MAN OF THE WEEK is a merchandiser of sensation, of propaganda disguised as entertainment, and of outright subversion of morality.

The artist has sought to catch the evil spirit of his menace. Readers of SOCIAL JUSTICE were introduced last week to the "Mystical Body of Satan"—on the racks of any modern newsstand one may survey his work.

Confident that America is a "Christian" country, we have permitted to thrive and prosper off our indifference, a progressively flagrant affronting of common decency, to say nothing of Christian morals.

Encouraged by our tolerance, the Smut Vendors have grown bolder: from off-color jokes illustrated by daring cartoons, the magazines have retrograded rapidly into deliberate filth. Feminine nudity and bad taste in "candid camera reporting" is a commonplace for the nation's children.

The remedy is in YOUR hands. Tell your newsstand dealer what magazines offend and why. Tell the advertisers who support these magazines why you think their editorial contents ought not to be supported.

A Legion of Decency some years ago cleaned up Hollywood's rotten films; let's clean up the newsstands!

THE MAN OF THE WEEK

PRODUCED BY UNZ.ORG
ELECTRONIC REPRODUCTION PROHIBITED